arXiv:1703.03914v2 [math.PR] 18 Apr 2017

# Elliptic Determinantal Processes and Elliptic Dyson Models

Makoto Katori *

18 April 2017

**Abstract**

We introduce seven families of stochastic systems of interacting particles in one-dimension corresponding to seven families of irreducible reduced affine root systems. We prove that they are determinantal in the sense that all spatio-temporal correlation functions are given by determinants controlled by a single function called the spatio-temporal correlation kernel. For the four families $A_{N-1}, B_N, C_N$ and $D_N$, we identify the systems of stochastic differential equations solved by these determinantal processes, which will be regarded as the elliptic extensions of the Dyson model. Here we use the notion of martingales in probability theory and the elliptic determinant evaluations of the Macdonald denominators of irreducible reduced affine root systems given by Rosengren and Schlosser.

*Key words:* elliptic determinantal processes; elliptic Dyson models; determinantal martingales; elliptic determinant evaluations; irreducible reduced affine root systems

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:* 60J65; 60G44; 82C22; 60B20; 33E05; 17B22

## 1 Introduction and Main Results

Stochastic analysis on interacting particle systems is important to provide useful models describing equilibrium and non-equilibrium phenomena studied in statistical physics [15]. *Determinantal process* is a stochastic system of interacting particles which is integrable in the sense that all spatio-temporal correlation functions are given by determinants controlled by a single function called the *spatio-temporal correlation kernel* [4, 18]. Since the generating functions of correlation functions are generally given by the Laplace transforms of probability densities, the stochastic integrability of determinantal processes is proved by showing that the Laplace transform of any multi-time joint probability density is expressed by the *spatio-temporal Fredholm determinant* associated with the correlation kernel. The purpose of this paper is to present new kinds of determinantal processes in which the interactions between particles are described by the logarithmic derivatives of Jacobi's theta functions. A classical example of determinantal processes is Dyson's Brownian motion model with parameter $\beta = 2$, which is a dynamical version of the eigenvalue statistics of random matrices in the Gaussian unitary ensemble (GUE), and we call it simply the *Dyson model* [6, 32, 15]. We will extend the Dyson model to the elliptic-function-level in this paper. We use the notion of *martingales* in probability theory and the *elliptic determinantal evaluations of the Macdonald denominators* of seven families of irreducible reduced affine root systems given by Rosengren and Schlosser [28] (see also [22, 34]).

Martingales are the stochastic processes preserving their mean values and thus they represent fluctuations. A typical example of martingale is the *one-dimensional standard Brownian motion* as explained below. Let

*Department of Physics, Faculty of Science and Engineering, Chuo University, Kasuga, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 112-8551, Japan; e-mail: katori@phys.chuo-u.ac.jp

$B(t), t \geq 0$ denote the position of the standard Brownian motion in $\mathbb{R}$ starting from the origin 0 at time $t = 0$. The *transition probability density* from the position $x \in \mathbb{R}$ to $y \in \mathbb{R}$ in time duration $t \geq 0$ is given by

$$p_{\rm BM}(t, y|x) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{e^{-(x-y)^2/2t}}{\sqrt{2\pi t}}, & t > 0, \\ \delta(x-y), & t = 0. \end{cases}$$

Let $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ be the Borel set on $\mathbb{R}$. Then for an arbitrary time sequence $0 \equiv t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_M < \infty$, $M \in \mathbb{N} \equiv \{1, 2, \dots\}$, and for any $A_m \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$, $m = 1, 2, \dots, M$,

$$\mathrm{P}\Big[B(t_m) \in A_m, m = 1, 2, \dots, M\Big] = \int_{A_1} dx^{(1)} \cdots \int_{A_M} dx^{(M)} \prod_{m=1}^{M} p_{\rm BM}(t_m - t_{m-1}, x^{(m)}|x^{(m-1)})$$

with $x^{(0)} \equiv 0$. The collection of all paths is denoted by $\Omega$ and there is a subset $\widetilde{\Omega} \subset \Omega$ such that $\mathrm{P}[\widetilde{\Omega}] = 1$ and for any realization of path $\omega \in \widetilde{\Omega}$, $B(t) = B(t, \omega), t \geq 0$ is a real continuous function of $t$. In other words, $B(t), t \geq 0$ has a continuous path almost surely (a.s. for short). For each $t \in [0, \infty)$, we write the smallest $\sigma$-field (completely additive class of events) generated by the Brownian motion up to time $t$ as $\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma(B(s) : 0 \leq s \leq t)$. We have a nondecreasing family $\{\mathcal{F}_t : t \geq 0\}$ such that $\mathcal{F}_s \subset \mathcal{F}_t$ for $0 \leq s < t < \infty$, which we call a *filtration*, and put $\mathcal{F} = \bigcup_{t \geq 0} \mathcal{F}_t$. The triplet $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathrm{P})$ is called the probability space for the one-dimensional standard Brownian motion. The expectation with respect to the probability law P is written as E. When we see $p_{\rm BM}(t, y|x)$ as a function of $y$, it is nothing but the probability density of the normal distribution with mean $x$ and variance $t$, and hence it is easy to verify that

$$\mathrm{E}[B(t)|\mathcal{F}_s] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x p_{\rm BM}(t - s, x|B(s)) dx = B(s) \quad \text{a.s.} \quad 0 \leq s < t < \infty,$$

which means that $B(t), t \geq 0$ is a martingale. We see, however, $B(t)^n, t \geq 0, n \in \{2, 3, \dots\}$ are not martingales, since the generating function of $\mathrm{E}[B(t)^n|\mathcal{F}_s], n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \equiv \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, $0 < s \leq t < \infty$, with parameter $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ is calculated as

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^n}{n!} \mathrm{E}[B(t)^n|\mathcal{F}_s] &= \mathrm{E}[e^{\alpha B(t)}|\mathcal{F}_s] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\alpha x} \frac{e^{-(x-B(s))^2/2(t-s)}}{\sqrt{2\pi(t-s)}} dx \\ &= e^{\alpha B(s) + (t-s)\alpha^2/2} \neq e^{\alpha B(s)} \quad \text{a.s.} \end{aligned}$$

Now we assume that $\widetilde{B}(t), t \geq 0$ is a one-dimensional standard Brownian motion which is independent of $B(t), t \geq 0$, and its probability space is denoted by $(\widetilde{\Omega}, \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}, \widetilde{\mathrm{P}})$. Then we introduce a complex-valued martingale called the *complex Brownian motion*,

$$Z(t) = B(t) + i\widetilde{B}(t), \quad t \geq 0,$$

with $i = \sqrt{-1}$. The probability space of $Z(t), t \geq 0$ is given by the product space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathrm{P}) \otimes (\widetilde{\Omega}, \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}, \widetilde{\mathrm{P}})$ and we write the expectation as $\mathbf{E} = \mathrm{E} \otimes \widetilde{\mathrm{E}}$. For the complex Brownian motion, by the independence of its real and imaginary parts, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^n}{n!} \mathbf{E}[Z(t)^n|\mathcal{F}_s \otimes \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_s] = \mathbf{E}[e^{\alpha Z(t)}|\mathcal{F}_s \otimes \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_s] \\ &\quad = \mathrm{E}[e^{\alpha B(t)}|\mathcal{F}_s] \times \widetilde{\mathrm{E}}[e^{i\alpha\widetilde{B}(t)}|\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_s] = e^{\alpha B(s) + (t-s)\alpha^2/2} \times e^{i\alpha\widetilde{B}(s) - (t-s)\alpha^2/2} \\ &\quad = e^{\alpha Z(s)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^n}{n!} Z(s)^n \quad \text{a.s.} \quad 0 \leq s < t < \infty, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{C}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $Z(t)^n, t \geq 0$ is a martingale. This observation will be generalized as the following stronger statement; if $F$ is an entire and non-constant function, then $F(Z(t)), t \geq 0$ is a time

change of a complex Brownian motion. This theorem is known as the *conformal invariance of the complex Brownian motion*, since $F(Z(t)), t \geq 0$ gives a conformal map of $Z(t), t \geq 0$ (see, for instance, Section V.2 of [27]). Hence $F(Z(t)), t \geq 0$ is a martingale;

$$\mathbf{E}[F(Z(t))|\mathcal{F}_s \otimes \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_s] = F(Z(s)) \quad \text{a.s.} \quad 0 \leq s < t < \infty.$$

If we take the expectation $\widetilde{\mathrm{E}}$ with respect to $\Im Z(\cdot) = \widetilde{B}(\cdot)$ of the both sides of the above equality, we have

$$\mathrm{E}\Big[\widetilde{\mathrm{E}}[F(Z(t))]\Big|\mathcal{F}_s\Big] = \widetilde{\mathrm{E}}[F(Z(s))] \quad \text{a.s.} \quad 0 \leq s < t < \infty.$$

In this way we can obtain a martingale $\widehat{F}(t, B(t)) \equiv \widetilde{\mathrm{E}}[F(Z(t))], t \geq 0$ with respect to the filtration $\mathcal{F}_t$ of the one-dimensional Brownian motion. The present argument implies that if we have proper entire functions, then we will obtain useful martingales describing intrinsic fluctuations involved in interacting particle systems.

Let $f_j, j \in \mathbb{I}$, be an infinite series of linearly independent entire functions, where the index set $\mathbb{I}$ is $\mathbb{Z} = \{\dots, -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ or $\mathbb{N}$. For $N \in \{2, 3 \dots\}$, we define the Weyl chamber

$$\mathbb{W}_N = \{\boldsymbol{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N : x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_N\},$$

and assume that

$$\boldsymbol{u} = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_N) \in \mathbb{W}_N. \tag{1.1}$$

We then define a set of $N$ distinct entire and non-constant functions of $z \in \mathbb{C}$ as

$$\Phi_{\boldsymbol{u},u_j}(z) = \frac{\det\limits_{1\leq \ell,m\leq N}\Big[f_\ell(u_m + (z-u_m)\delta_{mj})\Big]}{\det\limits_{1\leq \ell,m\leq N}\Big[f_\ell(u_m)\Big]}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, N. \tag{1.2}$$

By definition, it is easy to verify that

$$\Phi_{\boldsymbol{u},u_j}(u_k) = \delta_{jk}, \quad j, k \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}, \tag{1.3}$$

and hence (see Lemma 2.2 (ii) in Section 2)

$$\det_{1\leq j,k\leq N}\Big[\Phi_{\boldsymbol{u},u_j}(z_k)\Big] = \frac{\det\limits_{1\leq j,k\leq N}\Big[f_j(z_k)\Big]}{\det\limits_{1\leq j,k\leq N}\Big[f_j(u_k)\Big]}, \quad \boldsymbol{z} = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_N) \in \mathbb{C}^N. \tag{1.4}$$

It should be noted that given (1.1), $\Phi_{\boldsymbol{u},u_j}(z), j \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ satisfying (1.3) can be regarded as the *Lagrange interpolation functions* [8, 9, 10]. We consider $N$ pairs of independent copies $(B_k(t), \widetilde{B}_k(t)), t \geq 0, k = 1, 2, \dots, N$ of $(B(t), \widetilde{B}(t)), t \geq 0$ and define $N$ independent complex Brownian motions

$$Z_k(t) = u_k + B_k(t) + i\widetilde{B}_k(t), \quad t \geq 0, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, N, \tag{1.5}$$

each of which starts from $u_k \in \mathbb{R}$. The probability law and expectation of them are given by $\mathrm{P}_{\boldsymbol{u}} \otimes \widetilde{\mathrm{P}}$ and $\mathrm{E}_{\boldsymbol{u}} \otimes \widetilde{\mathrm{E}}$, respectively. Then for each complex Brownian motion $Z_k(t), t \geq 0$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, N$, we have $N$ distinct conformal maps $\Phi_{\boldsymbol{u},u_j}(Z_k(t)), t \geq 0$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, N$. By the conformal invariance of the complex Brownian motion mentioned above, they are $N$ distinct time-changes of complex Brownian motions started from the real values, 0 or 1; $\Phi_{\boldsymbol{u},u_j}(Z_k(0)) = \Phi_{\boldsymbol{u},u_j}(u_k) = \delta_{jk}$, $j, k = 1, 2, \dots, N$. Therefore, we can conclude that, if we take expectation $\widetilde{\mathrm{E}}$, we will obtain $N$ distinct martingales,

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\boldsymbol{u},u_j}(t, B_k(t)) &\equiv \widetilde{\mathrm{E}}[\Phi_{\boldsymbol{u},u_j}(B_k(t) + i\widetilde{B}_k(t))] \\ &= \frac{\det\limits_{1\leq \ell,m\leq N}[\widehat{f}_\ell(t\delta_{mj}, u_m + (B_k(t) - u_m)\delta_{mj})]}{\det\limits_{1\leq \ell,m\leq N}[\widehat{f}_\ell(0, u_m)]}, \quad t \geq 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, N, \end{aligned}$$

for each one-dimensional Brownian motion $B_k(t), t \geq 0$, $k = 1, 2, \ldots, N$, where

$$\widehat{f}_\ell(t,x) \equiv \widetilde{\mathrm{E}}[f_\ell(x + i\widetilde{B}(t))] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_\ell(x + i\widetilde{x}) p_{\mathrm{BM}}(t, \widetilde{x}|0) d\widetilde{x}, \quad \ell \in \mathbb{I}.$$

Then we define a multivariate function of $t \geq 0$ and $\boldsymbol{x} = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N$ by

$$D_{\boldsymbol{u}}(t, \boldsymbol{x}) = \det_{1 \leq j,k \leq N}[M_{\boldsymbol{u},u_j}(t, x_k)], \tag{1.6}$$

which gives a martingale as a functional of $t \geq 0$ and $\boldsymbol{B}(t) = (B_1(t), B_2(t), \ldots, B_N(t)), t \geq 0$;

$$\mathrm{E}_{\boldsymbol{u}}[D_{\boldsymbol{u}}(t, \boldsymbol{B}(t))|\mathcal{F}_s] = D_{\boldsymbol{u}}(s, \boldsymbol{B}(s)), \quad 0 \leq s < t < \infty.$$

By the multi-linearity of determinant and (1.4), we see

$$D_{\boldsymbol{u}}(t, \boldsymbol{x}) \;=\; \widetilde{\mathrm{E}}\left[\det_{1 \leq j,k \leq N}[\Phi_{\boldsymbol{u},u_j}(x_k + i\widetilde{B}_k(t))]\right] = \frac{\det_{1 \leq j,k \leq N}[\widehat{f}_j(t, x_k)]}{\det_{1 \leq j,k \leq N}[\widehat{f}_j(0, u_k)]}. \tag{1.7}$$

We call $D_{\boldsymbol{u}}(t, \boldsymbol{B}(t)), t \geq 0$, the *determinantal martingale* [12].

Now we introduce a measure $\widehat{\mathbb{P}}_{\boldsymbol{u}}$, which is complex-valued in general, but is absolutely continuous to $\mathrm{P}_{\boldsymbol{u}}$ as

$$\widehat{\mathbb{P}}_{\boldsymbol{u}}\Big|_{\mathcal{F}_t} = D_{\boldsymbol{u}}(t, \boldsymbol{B}(t))\mathrm{P}_{\boldsymbol{u}}\Big|_{\mathcal{F}_t}, \quad t \geq 0. \tag{1.8}$$

This measure defines $N$ particle systems on $\mathbb{R}$,

$$\widehat{\boldsymbol{X}}(t) = (\widehat{X}_1(t), \ldots, \widehat{X}_N(t)), \quad t \geq 0,$$

starting from (1.1) and each particle of which has a continuous path a.s. We consider the unlabeled configuration of $\widehat{\boldsymbol{X}}(t)$ as

$$\widehat{\Xi}(t, \cdot) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \delta_{\widehat{X}_j(t)}(\cdot), \quad t \geq 0, \tag{1.9}$$

where, for $y \in \mathbb{R}$, $\delta_y(\cdot)$ denotes the delta measure such that $\delta_y(\{x\}) = 1$ if $x = y$ and $\delta_y(\{x\}) = 0$ otherwise. Consider an arbitrary number $M \in \mathbb{N}$ and an arbitrary set of strictly increasing times $\boldsymbol{t} = \{t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_M\}$, $0 \equiv t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_M < \infty$. Let $\mathrm{C_c}(\mathbb{R})$ be the set of all continuous real-valued functions with compact supports on $\mathbb{R}$. For $\boldsymbol{g} = (g_{t_1}, g_{t_1} \ldots, g_{t_M}) \in \mathrm{C_c}(\mathbb{R})^M$, we consider the following functional of $\boldsymbol{g}$,

$$\widehat{\mathbb{L}}_{\boldsymbol{u}}[\boldsymbol{t}, \boldsymbol{g}] = \widehat{\mathbb{E}}_{\boldsymbol{u}}\left[\exp\left\{\sum_{m=1}^{M} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g_{t_m}(x) \widehat{\Xi}(t_m, dx)\right\}\right], \tag{1.10}$$

which is the Laplace transform of the multi-time distribution function $\widehat{\mathbb{P}}_{\boldsymbol{u}}$ on a set of times $\boldsymbol{t}$ with the functions $\boldsymbol{g}$. If we put

$$\chi_t = e^{g_t} - 1,$$

then (1.10) can be written as

$$\widehat{\mathbb{L}}_{\boldsymbol{u}}[\boldsymbol{t}, \boldsymbol{g}] = \widehat{\mathbb{E}}_{\boldsymbol{u}}\left[\prod_{m=1}^{M} \prod_{j=1}^{N} \{1 + \chi_{t_m}(\widehat{X}_j(t_m))\}\right]. \tag{1.11}$$

Explicit expression of (1.11) is given by the following multiple integrals,

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\mathbb{L}}_{\boldsymbol{u}}[\boldsymbol{t}, \boldsymbol{g}] \;=\; & \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} d\boldsymbol{x}^{(1)} \cdots \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} d\boldsymbol{x}^{(M)} D_{\boldsymbol{u}}(t_M, \boldsymbol{x}^{(M)}) \\ & \times \prod_{m=1}^{M} \prod_{j=1}^{N} \left[p_{\mathrm{BM}}(t_m - t_{m-1}, x_j^{(m)}|x_j^{(m-1)})\{1 + \chi_{t_m}(x_j^{(m)})\}\right], \end{aligned}$$

where $x_j^{(0)} = u_j, j = 1, 2, \ldots, N$, $\boldsymbol{x}^{(m)} = (x_1^{(m)}, \ldots, x_N^{(m)})$, and $d\boldsymbol{x}^{(m)} = \prod_{j=1}^N dx_j^{(m)}$, $m = 1, 2, \ldots, M$.

Let $\mathbf{1}(\omega)$ be the indicator function of $\omega$; $\mathbf{1}(\omega) = 1$ if $\omega$ is satisfied, and $\mathbf{1} = 0$ otherwise. The following was proved as Theorem 1.3 in [12].

**Proposition 1.1** *Put*

$$\widehat{\mathbb{K}}_{\boldsymbol{u}}(s,x;t,y) = \sum_{j=1}^N p_{\mathrm{BM}}(s,x|u_j) M_{\boldsymbol{u},u_j}(t,y) - \mathbf{1}(s>t) p_{\mathrm{BM}}(s-t,x|y),$$

*$s, t > 0, x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. Then the following equality holds for an arbitrary number $M \in \mathbb{N}$, an arbitrary set of strictly increasing times $\boldsymbol{t} = \{t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_M\}$, $0 \equiv t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_M < \infty$, and $\boldsymbol{g} = (g_{t_1}, g_{t_1} \ldots, g_{t_M}) \in \mathrm{C_c}(\mathbb{R})^M$,*

$$\widehat{\mathbb{L}}_{\boldsymbol{u}}[\boldsymbol{t},\boldsymbol{g}] = \operatorname*{Det}_{\substack{s,t\in\boldsymbol{t},\\ x,y\in\mathbb{R}}} \Big[\delta_{st}\delta(x-y) + \widehat{\mathbb{K}}_{\boldsymbol{u}}(s,x;t,y)\chi_t(y)\Big],$$

*where the RHS denotes the spatio-temporal Fredholm determinant with the kernel $\widehat{\mathbb{K}}_{\boldsymbol{u}}$ defined by*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\operatorname*{Det}_{\substack{s,t\in\boldsymbol{t},\\ x,y\in\mathbb{R}}} \Big[\delta_{st}\delta(x-y) + \widehat{\mathbb{K}}_{\boldsymbol{u}}(s,x;t,y)\chi_t(y)\Big] \\
&\equiv \sum_{\substack{0\le N_m\le N,\\ 1\le m\le M}} \int_{\prod_{m=1}^M \mathbb{W}_{N_m}} \prod_{m=1}^M d\boldsymbol{x}_{N_m}^{(m)} \prod_{j=1}^{N_m} \chi_{t_m}(x_j^{(m)}) \det_{\substack{1\le j\le N_m, 1\le k\le N_n,\\ 1\le m,n\le M}} [\widehat{\mathbb{K}}_{\boldsymbol{u}}(t_m, x_j^{(m)}; t_n, x_k^{(n)})], \quad (1.12)
\end{aligned}$$

*where $d\boldsymbol{x}_{N_m}^{(m)} = \prod_{j=1}^{N_m} dx_j^{(m)}$, $m = 1, 2, \ldots, M$, and the term with $N_m = 0, 1 \le \forall m \le M$ in the RHS should be interpreted as 1.*

This proposition is general and it proves that the $N$-particle system (1.9) is determinantal. The measure (1.8) which governs this particle system is, however, complex-valued in general, and hence the system is unphysical. The problem is to clarify the proper conditions which should be added to (1.8) to construct a non-negative-definite real measure, *i.e.* the probability measure, which defines a physical system of interaction particles. As a matter of course, this problem depends on the choice of an infinite set of linearly independent entire functions $f_j, j \in \mathbb{I}$. Here we report the results when we choose them as

$$\begin{aligned}
f_j^{A_{N-1}}(z;\tau) &= e^{iJ^{A_{N-1}}(j)z/r}\vartheta_1\left(J^{A_{N-1}}(j)\tau + \frac{\mathcal{N}^{A_{N-1}}z}{2\pi r} + \frac{1-(-1)^N}{4}; \mathcal{N}^{A_{N-1}}\tau\right), \\
f_j^R(z;\tau) &= e^{iJ^R(j)z/r}\vartheta_1\left(J^R(j)\tau + \frac{\mathcal{N}^R z}{2\pi r}; \mathcal{N}^R\tau\right) \\
&- e^{-iJ^R(j)z/r}\vartheta_1\left(J^R(j)\tau - \frac{\mathcal{N}^R z}{2\pi r}; \mathcal{N}^R\tau\right) \quad \text{for } R = B_N, B_N^\vee, \\
f_j^R(z;\tau) &= e^{iJ^R(j)z/r}\vartheta_1\left(J^R(j)\tau + \frac{\mathcal{N}^R z}{2\pi r} + \frac{1}{2}; \mathcal{N}^R\tau\right) \\
&- e^{-iJ^R(j)z/r}\vartheta_1\left(J^R(j)\tau - \frac{\mathcal{N}^R z}{2\pi r} + \frac{1}{2}; \mathcal{N}^R\tau\right) \quad \text{for } R = C_N, C_N^\vee, BC_N, \\
f_j^{D_N}(z;\tau) &= e^{iJ^{D_N}(j)z/r}\vartheta_1\left(J^{D_N}(j)\tau + \frac{\mathcal{N}^{D_N} z}{2\pi r} + \frac{1}{2}; \mathcal{N}^{D_N}\tau\right) \\
&+ e^{-iJ^{D_N}(j)z/r}\vartheta_1\left(J^{D_N}(j)\tau - \frac{\mathcal{N}^{D_N} z}{2\pi r} + \frac{1}{2}; \mathcal{N}^{D_N}\tau\right), \quad (1.13)
\end{aligned}$$

$z \in \mathbb{C}, j \in \mathbb{Z}$, with $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 < r < \infty$, and $\tau \in \mathbb{C}, 0 < \Im\tau < \infty$, where

$$J^R(j) = \begin{cases} j-1, & R = A_{N-1}, B_N, B_N^\vee, D_N, \\ j, & R = C_N, BC_N, \\ j-1/2, & R = C_N^\vee, \end{cases} \tag{1.14}$$

$$\mathcal{N}^R = \begin{cases} N, & R = A_{N-1}, \\ 2N-1, & R = B_N, \\ 2N, & R = B_N^\vee, C_N^\vee, \\ 2(N+1), & R = C_N, \\ 2N+1, & R = BC_N, \\ 2(N-1), & R = D_N, \end{cases} \tag{1.15}$$

and $\vartheta_1$ is one of Jacobi's theta functions defined as follows. Let

$$z = e^{v\pi i}, \quad q = e^{\tau\pi i},$$

where $v \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\Im\tau > 0$. The Jacobi theta functions are defined as follows [35, 26],

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta_0(v;\tau) &= -ie^{\pi i(v+\tau/4)}\vartheta_1\left(v+\frac{\tau}{2};\tau\right) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}(-1)^n q^{n^2}z^{2n} = 1+2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(-1)^n e^{\tau\pi i n^2}\cos(2n\pi v), \\ \vartheta_1(v;\tau) &= i\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}(-1)^n q^{(n-1/2)^2}z^{2n-1} = 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{n-1}e^{\tau\pi i(n-1/2)^2}\sin\{(2n-1)\pi v\}, \\ \vartheta_2(v;\tau) &= \vartheta_1\left(v+\frac{1}{2};\tau\right) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} q^{(n-1/2)^2}z^{2n-1} = 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}e^{\tau\pi i(n-1/2)^2}\cos\{(2n-1)\pi v\}, \\ \vartheta_3(v;\tau) &= e^{\pi i(v+\tau/4)}\vartheta_1\left(v+\frac{1+\tau}{2};\tau\right) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} q^{n^2}z^{2n} = 1+2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}e^{\tau\pi i n^2}\cos(2n\pi v). \end{aligned} \tag{1.16}$$

(Note that the present functions $\vartheta_\mu(v;\tau), \mu = 1,2,3$ are denoted by $\vartheta_\mu(\pi v, q)$, and $\vartheta_0(v;\tau)$ by $\vartheta_4(\pi v, q)$ in [35].) For $\Im\tau > 0$, $\vartheta_\mu(v;\tau)$, $\mu = 0,1,2,3$ are holomorphic for $|v| < \infty$ and satisfy the partial differential equation

$$\frac{\partial\vartheta_\mu(v;\tau)}{\partial\tau} = \frac{1}{4\pi i}\frac{\partial^2\vartheta_\mu(v;\tau)}{\partial v^2}. \tag{1.17}$$

They have the quasi-periodicity; for instance, $\vartheta_1$ satisfies

$$\vartheta_1(v+1;\tau) = -\vartheta_1(v;\tau), \quad \vartheta_1(v+\tau;\tau) = -e^{-\pi i(2v+\tau)}\vartheta_1(v;\tau).$$

We see the asymptotics

$$\begin{gathered} \vartheta_0(v;\tau) \sim 1, \quad \vartheta_1(v;\tau) \sim 2e^{\tau\pi i/4}\sin(\pi v), \quad \vartheta_2(v;\tau) \sim 2e^{\tau\pi i/4}\cos(\pi v), \quad \vartheta_3(v;\tau) \sim 1, \\ \text{in} \quad \Im\tau \to +\infty \quad (i.e., \quad q = e^{\tau\pi i} \to 0). \end{gathered} \tag{1.18}$$

These functions (1.13) were used to express the determinant evaluations by Rosengren and Schlosser [28] for the Macdonald denominators $W_R(x)$ for seven families of irreducible reduced affine root systems $R = A_{N-1}$, $B_N$, $B_N^\vee$, $C_N$, $C_N^\vee$, $BC_N$, $D_N$. Note that, if $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $\tau \in i\mathbb{R}$, and $0 < \Im\tau < \infty$, then $f^R(x;\tau) \in \mathbb{R}$ for $R = B_N, B_N^\vee, D_N$ and $f^R(x;\tau) \in i\mathbb{R}$ for $R = C_N, C_N^\vee, BC_N$.

In the previous papers [13, 14], we reported the result for the case $f_j^{A_{N-1}}, j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Assume $0 < t_* < \infty, 0 < r < \infty, 0 < \mathcal{N} < \infty$, and let

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\mathcal{N}}^{2\pi r}(t_*-t,x) &= \left[\frac{1}{2\pi r}\frac{\partial}{\partial v}\log\vartheta_1(v;\tau)\right]_{v=x/2\pi r,\tau=i\mathcal{N}(t_*-t)/2\pi r^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi r}\frac{\vartheta_1'(x/2\pi r; i\mathcal{N}(t_*-t)/2\pi r^2)}{\vartheta_1(x/2\pi r; i\mathcal{N}(t_*-t)/2\pi r^2)}, \end{aligned} \tag{1.19}$$

for $t \in [0, t_*)$, where $\vartheta_1'(v;\tau) = d\vartheta_1(v;\tau)/dv$. As a function of $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $A_{\mathcal{N}}^{2\pi r}(t_* - t, x)$ is odd,

$$A_{\mathcal{N}}^{2\pi r}(t_* - t, -x) = -A_{\mathcal{N}}^{2\pi r}(t_* - t, x), \tag{1.20}$$

and periodic with period $2\pi r$

$$A_{\mathcal{N}}^{2\pi r}(t_* - t, x + 2m\pi r) = A_{\mathcal{N}}^{2\pi r}(t_* - t, x), \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

It has only simple poles at $x = 2m\pi r, m \in \mathbb{Z}$, and simple zeroes at $x = (2m+1)\pi r, m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Independently of the values of $t \in [0, t_*)$ and $0 < \mathcal{N} < \infty$, $A_{\mathcal{N}}^{2\pi r}(t_* - t, x)$ behaves as

$$A_{\mathcal{N}}^{2\pi r}(t_* - t, x) \sim \begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{x} & \text{as } x \downarrow 0, \\ -\dfrac{1}{2\pi r - x} & \text{as } x \uparrow 2\pi r. \end{cases} \tag{1.21}$$

Using Jacobi's imaginary transformation [35, 26],

$$\vartheta_1(v;\tau) = e^{3\pi i/4}\tau^{-1/2}e^{-\pi i v^2/\tau}\vartheta_1\left(\frac{v}{\tau}; -\frac{1}{\tau}\right),$$

we can show by (1.18) that

$$A_{\mathcal{N}}^{2\pi r}(t_* - t, x) \sim \begin{cases} -\dfrac{x - \pi r}{\mathcal{N}(t_* - t)}, & \text{if } x > 0, \\ -\dfrac{x + \pi r}{\mathcal{N}(t_* - t)}, & \text{if } x < 0, \quad \text{as } t \uparrow t_*. \end{cases} \tag{1.22}$$

We introduced in [13, 14] a system of stochastic differential equations (SDEs) for $t \in [0, t_*)$,

$$\begin{aligned} (A_{N-1}) \quad X_j^{A_{N-1}}(t) = u_j + W_j(t) + \int_0^t A_N^{2\pi r}(t_* - s, \sum_{\ell=1}^N X_\ell^{A_{N-1}}(s) - \kappa_N)ds \\ + \sum_{\substack{1 \le k \le N, \\ k \ne j}} \int_0^t A_N^{2\pi r}(t_* - s, X_j^{A_{N-1}}(s) - X_k^{A_{N-1}}(s))ds, \quad j = 1, \dots, N, \text{ in } \mathbb{R}, \end{aligned} \tag{1.23}$$

for $\boldsymbol{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_N) \in \mathbb{W}_N$, where $W_j(t), t \ge 0, j = 1, \dots, N$ are independent one-dimensional standard Brownian motions, and

$$\kappa_N = \begin{cases} \pi r(N-1), & \text{if } N \text{ is even}, \\ \pi r(N-2), & \text{if } N \text{ is odd}. \end{cases}$$

We called this $N$-particle system on $\mathbb{R}$, $\boldsymbol{X}^{A_{N-1}}(t) = (X_1^{A_{N-1}}(t), \dots, X_N^{A_{N-1}}(t))$, $t \in [0, t_*)$ the *elliptic Dyson model of type A* and proved that it is determinantal for a set of observables [13, 14]. By (1.22), in $t \uparrow t_*$ (1.23) gives

$$dX_j^{A_{N-1}}(t) \sim dW_j(t) + \frac{v_j^{A_{N-1}} - X_j^{A_{N-1}}(t)}{t_* - t}dt, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, N$$

with

$$v_j^{A_{N-1}} = \begin{cases} \dfrac{\pi r}{N}(2j-1), & \text{if } N \text{ is even}, \\ \dfrac{2\pi r}{N}(j-1), & \text{if } N \text{ is odd}, \end{cases}$$

which give equidistant spacing configurations $\boldsymbol{v}^{A_{N-1}} = (v_1^{A_{N-1}}, \dots, v_N^{A_{N-1}})$. This implies that the elliptic Dyson model of type A is realized as a system of interacting *Brownian bridge* (see, for instance, Part I, IV.4.22 of [5]) pinned at the configuration $\boldsymbol{v}^{A_{N-1}}$ at time $t_*$ [14].

In the present paper, we will report the results for other six cases, $R = B_N, B_N^\vee, C_N, C_N^\vee, BC_N, D_N$. For $N \in \{2, 3, \dots\}$, $0 < r < \infty$, let

$$\mathbb{W}_N^{(0,\pi r)} = \{\boldsymbol{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N : 0 < x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_N < \pi r\}.$$

Let $\boldsymbol{u} = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_N) \in \mathbb{W}_N^{(0,\pi r)}$, and

$$\tau^R(t) = \frac{i\mathcal{N}^R(t_* - t)}{2\pi r^2}, \tag{1.24}$$

where $\mathcal{N}^R$ are given by (1.15). For $t \in [0, t_*)$ put

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{\boldsymbol{u}}^R(t, \boldsymbol{x}) &= \frac{c_0^R(\tau^R(t))}{c_0^R(\tau^R(0))} \prod_{\ell=1}^N \frac{\vartheta_1(c_1^R x_\ell/2\pi r; c_2^R \tau^R(t))}{\vartheta_1(c_1^R u_\ell/2\pi r; c_2^R \tau^R(0))} \\
&\times \prod_{1 \le j < k \le N} \frac{\vartheta_1((x_k - x_j)/2\pi r; \tau^R(t))}{\vartheta_1((u_k - u_j)/2\pi r; \tau^R(0))} \frac{\vartheta_1((x_k + x_j)/2\pi r; \tau^R(t))}{\vartheta_1((u_k + u_j)/2\pi r; \tau^R(0))} \quad \text{for } R = B_N, B_N^\vee, C_N, C_N^\vee, \\
D_{\boldsymbol{u}}^{BC_N}(t, \boldsymbol{x}) &= \frac{c_0^{BC_N}(\tau^{BC_N}(t))}{c_0^{BC_N}(\tau^{BC_N}(0))} \prod_{\ell=1}^N \frac{\vartheta_1(x_\ell/2\pi r; \tau^{BC_N}(t))}{\vartheta_1(u_\ell/2\pi r; \tau^{BC_N}(0))} \frac{\vartheta_0(x_\ell/\pi r; 2\tau^{BC_N}(t))}{\vartheta_0(u_\ell/\pi r; 2\tau^{BC_N}(0))} \\
&\times \prod_{1 \le j < k \le N} \frac{\vartheta_1((x_k - x_j)/2\pi r; \tau^{BC_N}(t))}{\vartheta_1((u_k - u_j)/2\pi r; \tau^{BC_N}(0))} \frac{\vartheta_1((x_k + x_j)/2\pi r; \tau^{BC_N}(t))}{\vartheta_1((u_k + u_j)/2\pi r; \tau^{BC_N}(0))}, \\
D_{\boldsymbol{u}}^{D_N}(t, \boldsymbol{x}) &= \frac{c_0^{D_N}(\tau^{D_N}(t))}{c_0^{D_N}(\tau^{D_N}(0))} \prod_{1 \le j < k \le N} \frac{\vartheta_1((x_k - x_j)/2\pi r; \tau^{D_N}(t))}{\vartheta_1((u_k - u_j)/2\pi r; \tau^{D_N}(0))} \frac{\vartheta_1((x_k + x_j)/2\pi r; \tau^{D_N}(t))}{\vartheta_1((u_k + u_j)/2\pi r; \tau^{D_N}(0))},
\end{aligned} \tag{1.25}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
c_0^R(\tau) &= \eta(\tau)^{-N(N-1)} \quad \text{for } R = B_N, C_N, \\
c_0^{B_N^\vee}(\tau) &= \eta(\tau)^{-(N-1)^2} \eta(2\tau)^{-(N-1)}, \\
c_0^{C_N^\vee}(\tau) &= \eta(\tau)^{-(N-1)^2} \eta(\tau/2)^{-(N-1)}, \\
c_0^{BC_N}(\tau) &= \eta(\tau)^{-N(N-1)} \eta(2\tau)^{-N}, \\
c_0^{D_N}(\tau) &= \eta(\tau)^{-N(N-2)},
\end{aligned} \tag{1.26}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&c_1^{B_N} = c_1^{C_N^\vee} = 1, \quad c_1^{B_N^\vee} = c_1^{C_N} = 2, \\
&c_2^{B_N} = c_2^{C_N} = 1, \quad c_2^{B_N^\vee} = 2, \quad c_2^{C_N^\vee} = 1/2.
\end{aligned} \tag{1.27}$$

Here $\eta(\tau)$ denotes the Dedekind modular function (see, for instance, Sec. 23.15 of [26]),

$$\eta(\tau) = e^{\tau\pi i/12} \prod_{n=1}^\infty (1 - e^{2n\tau\pi i}), \quad \Im\tau > 0. \tag{1.28}$$

For $t \in [0, t_*)$, $0 < \mathcal{N} < \infty$, define

$$\eta_{\mathcal{N}}^1(t_* - t) = \frac{\pi^2}{\omega_1} \left( \frac{1}{12} - 2 \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{nq^{2n}}{1 - q^{2n}} \right) \Bigg|_{\omega_1 = \pi r, q = e^{-\mathcal{N}(t_* - t)/2r^2}}. \tag{1.29}$$

We can prove the following equality [13, 14],

$$\frac{d \log \eta(\tau^R(t))}{dt} = \mathcal{N}^R(t_* - t) \frac{\eta_{\mathcal{N}^R}^1(t_* - t)}{2\pi r}. \tag{1.30}$$

In the interval $[0,\pi r]$, we consider the $N$-particle system of one-dimensional standard Brownian motions $\boldsymbol{B}(t)=(B_1(t),\dots,B_N(t))$, $t\geq 0$ started at $\boldsymbol{u}=(u_1,\dots,u_N)\in\mathbb{W}_N^{(0,\pi r)}$ with either an absorbing or reflecting boundary conditions at the endpoints of the interval, 0 and $\pi r$. The transition probability density of each particle is generally denoted by $p^{[0,\pi r]}$. The boundary conditions at 0 and $\pi r$ are indicated by $b$ and $b'$, respectively, and the transition probability density with the specified boundary conditions $b,b'$ is written as $p^{[0,\pi]}_{b,b'}$. In this paper the absorbing (resp. reflecting) boundary condition is abbreviated as 'a' (resp. 'r'). By the reflection principle of Brownian motion (see, for instance, Appendix 1.5 and 1.6 of [5]), if both boundaries are absorbing, it is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
p^{[0,\pi r]}_{\mathrm{aa}}(t,y|x) &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}\{p_{\mathrm{BM}}(t,y+2\pi rk|x)-p_{\mathrm{BM}}(t,y+2\pi rk|-x)\}\\
&= p_{\mathrm{BM}}(t,y|x)\vartheta_3\left(\frac{i(y-x)r}{t};\frac{2\pi ir^2}{t}\right)-p_{\mathrm{BM}}(t,y|-x)\vartheta_3\left(\frac{i(y+x)r}{t};\frac{2\pi ir^2}{t}\right)\\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi r}\left\{\vartheta_3\left(\frac{y-x}{2\pi r};\frac{it}{2\pi r^2}\right)-\vartheta_3\left(\frac{y+x}{2\pi r};\frac{it}{2\pi r^2}\right)\right\}\\
&= \frac{1}{\pi r}\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}e^{-n^2t/2r^2}\sin\left(\frac{ny}{r}\right)\sin\left(\frac{nx}{r}\right), \qquad (1.31)
\end{aligned}$$

and if both are reflecting, it is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
p^{[0,\pi r]}_{\mathrm{rr}}(t,y|x) &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}\{p_{\mathrm{BM}}(t,y+2\pi rk|x)+p_{\mathrm{BM}}(t,y+2\pi rk|-x)\}\\
&= p_{\mathrm{BM}}(t,y|x)\vartheta_3\left(\frac{i(y-x)r}{t};\frac{2\pi ir^2}{t}\right)+p_{\mathrm{BM}}(t,y|-x)\vartheta_3\left(\frac{i(y+x)r}{t};\frac{2\pi ir^2}{t}\right)\\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi r}\left\{\vartheta_3\left(\frac{y-x}{2\pi r};\frac{it}{2\pi r^2}\right)+\vartheta_3\left(\frac{y+x}{2\pi r};\frac{it}{2\pi r^2}\right)\right\}\\
&= \frac{1}{\pi r}\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}e^{-n^2t/2r^2}\cos\left(\frac{ny}{r}\right)\cos\left(\frac{nx}{r}\right), \qquad (1.32)
\end{aligned}$$

for $x,y\in[0,\pi r]$, $t\geq 0$. It should be noted that $\int_0^{\pi r}p^{[0,\pi r]}_{\mathrm{rr}}(t,y|u)dy\equiv 1$, $\forall t\geq 0$, $u\in[0,\pi r]$, while

$$p_u^{\mathrm{survival}}(t)\equiv\int_0^{\pi r}p^{[0,\pi r]}_{\mathrm{aa}}(t,y|u)dy\simeq\frac{4}{\pi}e^{-t/2r^2}\sin\left(\frac{u}{r}\right)\to 0\quad\text{as } t\to\infty,\quad u\in(0,\pi r).$$

When both boundaries at 0 and $\pi r$ are absorbing, $p_u^{\mathrm{survival}}(t)$ gives for each Brownian motion the probability to survive up to time $t$ in the interval $(0,\pi r)$, when it starts from $u\in(0,\pi r)$. In other words, with probability $1-p_u^{\mathrm{survival}}(t)$, each Brownian motion was absorbed before time $t$ and has been fixed at one of the boundaries. We write the probability law of such a system of boundary-conditioned Brownian motions in $[0,\pi r]$ as $\mathrm{P}^{[0,\pi r]}_{\boldsymbol{u}}$. In $\mathrm{P}^{[0,\pi r]}_{\boldsymbol{u}}$, put

$$T_{\mathrm{collision}}=\inf\{t>0:B_j(t)=B_k(t)\quad\text{for any } j\neq k\}, \qquad (1.33)$$

*i.e.*, the first collision-time of the $N$-particle system of Brownian motions in the interval $[0,\pi r]$. Then we define

$$\mathbb{P}^R_{\boldsymbol{u}}\Big|_{\mathcal{F}_t}=\mathbf{1}(T_{\mathrm{collision}}>t)D^R_{\boldsymbol{u}}(t,\boldsymbol{B}(t))\mathrm{P}^{[0,\pi r]}_{\boldsymbol{u}}\Big|_{\mathcal{F}_t},\quad t\in[0,t_*), \qquad (1.34)$$

where $D^R_{\boldsymbol{u}}$ are given by (1.25).

The first theorem of this paper is the following.

**Theorem 1.2** *Assume that $0 < t_* < \infty$, $0 < r < \infty$. For each $N \in \{2,3,\dots\}$, $R = B_N$, $B_N^\vee$, $C_N$, $C_N^\vee$, $BC_N$, $D_N$, $\boldsymbol{u} = (u_1,\dots,u_N) \in \mathbb{W}_N^{(0,\pi r)}$, $\mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{u}}^R$ defined by (1.34) gives a probability measure and defines a measure-valued stochastic process*

$$\Xi^R(t,\cdot) = \sum_{j=1}^N \delta_{X_j^R(t)}(\cdot), \quad t \in [0,t_*). \tag{1.35}$$

*The process $((\Xi^R(t))_{t\in[0,t_*)}, \mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{u}}^R)$ is determinantal with the spatio-temporal correlation kernel*

$$\mathbb{K}_{\boldsymbol{u}}^R(s,x;t,y) = \sum_{j=1}^N p^{[0,\pi r]}(s,x|u_j) M_{\boldsymbol{u},u_j}^R(t,y) - \mathbf{1}(s>t) p^{[0,\pi r]}(s-t,x|y), \tag{1.36}$$

$(s,x),(t,y) \in [0,t_*) \times [0,\pi r]$, *where*

$$M_{\boldsymbol{u},u_j}^R(t,x) = \widetilde{\mathrm{E}}[\Phi_{\boldsymbol{u},u_j}^R(x+i\widetilde{B}(t))] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi_{\boldsymbol{u},u_j}^R(x+i\widetilde{x}) p_{\mathrm{BM}}(t,\widetilde{x}|0) d\widetilde{x} \tag{1.37}$$

*with the sets of entire functions (the elliptic Lagrange interpolation functions)*

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{\boldsymbol{u},u_j}^R(z) &= \frac{\vartheta_1(c_1^R z/2\pi r; c_2^R \tau^R(0))}{\vartheta_1(c_1^R u_j/2\pi r; c_2^R \tau^R(0))} \\
&\times \prod_{\substack{1\le \ell \le N, \\ \ell \ne j}} \frac{\vartheta_1((z-u_\ell)/2\pi r; \tau^R(0))}{\vartheta_1((u_j-u_\ell)/2\pi r; \tau^R(0)} \frac{\vartheta_1((z+u_\ell)/2\pi r; \tau^R(0))}{\vartheta_1((u_j+u_\ell)/2\pi r; \tau^R(0))} \quad \text{for } R = B_N, B_N^\vee, C_N, C_N^\vee, \\
\Phi_{\boldsymbol{u},u_j}^{BC_N}(z) &= \frac{\vartheta_1(z/2\pi r; \tau^{BC_N}(0))}{\vartheta_1(u_j/2\pi r; \tau^{BC_N}(0))} \frac{\vartheta_0(z/\pi r; 2\tau^{BC_N}(0))}{\vartheta_0(u_j/\pi r; 2\tau^{BC_N}(0))} \\
&\times \prod_{\substack{1\le \ell \le N, \\ \ell \ne j}} \frac{\vartheta_1((z-u_\ell)/2\pi r; \tau^{BC_N}(0))}{\vartheta_1((u_j-u_\ell)/2\pi r; \tau^{BC_N}(0)} \frac{\vartheta_1((z+u_\ell)/2\pi r; \tau^{BC_N}(0))}{\vartheta_1((u_j+u_\ell)/2\pi r; \tau^{BC_N}(0))}, \\
\Phi_{\boldsymbol{u},u_j}^{D_N}(z) &= \prod_{\substack{1\le \ell \le N, \\ \ell \ne j}} \frac{\vartheta_1((z-u_\ell)/2\pi r; \tau^{D_N}(0))}{\vartheta_1((u_j-u_\ell)/2\pi r; \tau^{D_N}(0)} \frac{\vartheta_1((z+u_\ell)/2\pi r; \tau^{D_N}(0))}{\vartheta_1((u_j+u_\ell)/2\pi r; \tau^{D_N}(0))},
\end{aligned} \tag{1.38}$$

$j = 1,2,\dots,N$. *Here $\tau^R(t)$ are given by (1.24) with (1.15), and $c_1^R$, $c_2^R$ for $R = B_N$, $B_N^\vee$, $C_N$, $C_N^\vee$ are given by (1.27).*

The second theorem of this paper is the following.

**Theorem 1.3** *Let $N \in \{2,3,\dots\}$, $0 < t_* < \infty$, $0 < r < \infty$. Assume $\boldsymbol{u} = (u_1,\dots,u_N) \in \mathbb{W}_N^{(0,\pi r)}$. In the interval $[0,\pi r]$, for $((\Xi^{B_N}(t))_{t\in[0,t_*)}, \mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{u}}^{B_N})$ we put an absorbing boundary condition at 0 and a reflecting boundary condition at $\pi r$, for $((\Xi^{C_N}(t))_{t\in[0,t_*)}, \mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{u}}^{C_N})$ we put an absorbing boundary condition both at 0 and $\pi r$, and for $((\Xi^{D_N}(t))_{t\in[0,t_*)}, \mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{u}}^{D_N})$ we put a reflecting boundary condition both at 0 and $\pi r$, respectively. If we set $\Xi^R(t) = \sum_{j=1}^N \delta_{X_j^R(t)}$, then $\boldsymbol{X}^R(t) = (X_1^R(t),\dots,X_N^R(t))$, $R = B_N, C_N, D_N$, solve the following systems of SDEs,*

$$\begin{aligned}
(B_N) \quad X_j^{B_N}(t) &= u_j + W_j(t) + \int_0^t A_{2N-1}^{2\pi r}(t_*-s, X_j^{B_N}(s)) ds \\
&+ \sum_{\substack{1\le k\le N, \\ k\ne j}} \int_0^t (A_{2N-1}^{2\pi r}(t_*-s, X_j^{B_N}(s) - X_k^{B_N}(s)) + A_{2N-1}^{2\pi r}(t_*-s, X_j^{B_N}(s) + X_k^{B_N}(s))) ds, \\
&j = 1,2,\dots,N, \text{ in } [0,\pi r] \text{ with a reflecting boundary condition at } \pi r,
\end{aligned} \tag{1.39}$$

$$(C_N)\quad X_j^{C_N}(t) = u_j + W_j(t) + 2\int_0^t A^{2\pi r}_{2(N+1)}(t_*-s, 2X_j^{C_N}(s))ds$$
$$+ \sum_{\substack{1\le k\le N,\\ k\ne j}} \int_0^t (A^{2\pi r}_{2(N+1)}(t_*-s, X_j^{C_N}(s) - X_k^{C_N}(s)) + A^{2\pi r}_{2(N+1)}(t_*-s, X_j^{C_N}(s) + X_k^{C_N}(s)))ds,$$
$$j = 1, 2, \dots, N, \textit{ in } [0, \pi r], \tag{1.40}$$

$$(D_N)\quad X_j^{D_N}(t) = u_j + W_j(t)$$
$$+ \sum_{\substack{1\le k\le N,\\ k\ne j}} \int_0^t (A^{2\pi r}_{2(N-1)}(t_*-s, X_j^{D_N}(s) - X_k^{D_N}(s)) + A^{2\pi r}_{2(N-1)}(t_*-s, X_j^{D_N}(s) + X_k^{D_N}(s)))ds,$$
$$j = 1, 2, \dots, N, \textit{ in } [0, \pi r] \textit{ with a reflecting boundary condition both at } 0 \textit{ and } \pi r, \tag{1.41}$$

*where $W_j(t), t \ge 0, j = 1, 2, \dots, N$ are independent one-dimensional standard Brownian motions.*

We call the systems (1.39)-(1.41) the *elliptic Dyson models of types B, C, D*, respectively. By (1.22), we see that in $t \uparrow t_*$,

$$dX_j^R(t) \sim dW_j(t) + \frac{v_j^R - X_j^R(t)}{t_* - t}dt, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, N, \quad R = B_N, C_N, D_N$$

with

$$v_j^{B_N} = \frac{2j-1}{2N-1}\pi r,$$
$$v_j^{C_N} = \frac{j}{N+1}\pi r,$$
$$v_j^{D_N} = \frac{j-1}{N-1}\pi r, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, N.$$

The above implies that the elliptic Dyson models of types B, C, and D are realized as the systems of interacting Brownian bridges pinned at the configurations $\boldsymbol{v}^R = (v_1^R, \dots, v_N^R)$, $R = B_N, C_N, D_N$, at time $t_*$. Note that $v_1^{B_N} > 0$, $v_N^{B_N} = \pi r$; $v_1^{C_N} > 0$, $v_N^{C_N} < \pi r$; $v_1^{D_N} = 0, v_N^{D_N} = \pi r$, corresponding to the situation such that the absorbing boundary condition is imposed at 0 for $B_N$, and at 0 and $\pi r$ for $C_N$, while the reflecting boundary condition is imposed at other endpoints of the interval $[0, \pi r]$.

We see

$$\lim_{t_*\to\infty} A^{2\pi r}_{\mathcal{N}}(t_* - t, x) = \frac{1}{2r}\cot\left(\frac{x}{2r}\right),$$

by (1.18) and (1.19). Hence in the limit $t_* \to \infty$, (1.23), (1.39)-(1.41) become the following temporally homogeneous systems of SDEs for $t \in [0, \infty)$;

$$(A_{N-1})\qquad X_j^{A_{N-1}}(t) = u_j + W_j(t) - \frac{1}{2r}\int_0^t \tan\left(\frac{1}{2r}\sum_{\ell=1}^N X_\ell^{A_{N-1}}(s)\right)ds$$
$$+\frac{1}{2r}\sum_{\substack{1\le k\le N,\\ k\ne j}} \int_0^t \cot\left(\frac{X_j^{A_{N-1}}(s) - X_k^{A_{N-1}}(s)}{2r}\right)ds, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, N, \text{ in } \mathbb{R}, \tag{1.42}$$

$$(B_N)\qquad X_j^{B_N}(t) = u_j + W_j(t) + \frac{1}{2r}\int_0^t \cot\left(\frac{X_j^{B_N}(s)}{2r}\right) ds$$
$$+\frac{1}{2r}\sum_{\substack{1\le k\le N,\\ k\neq j}}\int_0^t \left\{\cot\left(\frac{X_j^{B_N}(s)-X_k^{B_N}(s)}{2r}\right)+\cot\left(\frac{X_j^{B_N}(s)+X_k^{B_N}(s)}{2r}\right)\right\} ds,$$
$$j=1,2,\dots,N, \text{in } [0,\pi r] \text{ with a reflecting boundary condition at } \pi r, \tag{1.43}$$

$$(C_N)\qquad X_j^{C_N}(t) = u_j + W_j(t) + \frac{1}{r}\int_0^t \cot\left(\frac{X_j^{C_N}(s)}{r}\right) ds$$
$$+\frac{1}{2r}\sum_{\substack{1\le k\le N,\\ k\neq j}}\int_0^t \left\{\cot\left(\frac{X_j^{C_N}(s)-X_k^{C_N}(s)}{2r}\right)+\cot\left(\frac{X_j^{C_N}(s)+X_k^{C_N}(s)}{2r}\right)\right\} ds,$$
$$j=1,2,\dots,N, \text{in } [0,\pi r], \tag{1.44}$$

$$(D_N)\qquad X_j^{D_N}(t) = u_j + W_j(t)$$
$$+\frac{1}{2r}\sum_{\substack{1\le k\le N,\\ k\neq j}}\int_0^t \left\{\cot\left(\frac{X_j^{D_N}(s)-X_k^{D_N}(s)}{2r}\right)+\cot\left(\frac{X_j^{D_N}(s)+X_k^{D_N}(s)}{2r}\right)\right\} ds,$$
$$j=1,2,\dots,N, \text{in } [0,\pi r] \text{ with a reflecting boundary condition both at 0 and } \pi r, \tag{1.45}$$

respectively. We will call the above systems (1.42)-(1.45) the *trigonometric Dyson models of types A, B, C and D*, respectively.

Moreover, for

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{1}{2r}\cot\left(\frac{x}{2r}\right)=\frac{1}{x},\quad \lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{1}{2r}\tan\left(\frac{x}{2r}\right)=0,$$

the $r\to\infty$ limit of the systems (1.42)-(1.45) are given as follows; for $t\in[0,\infty)$

$$(A_{N-1})\quad X_j^{A_{N-1}}(t) = u_j + W_j(t) + \sum_{\substack{1\le k\le N,\\ k\neq j}}\int_0^t \frac{1}{X_j^{A_{N-1}}(s)-X_k^{A_{N-1}}(s)} ds,$$
$$j=1,2,\dots,N, \text{ in } \mathbb{R}, \tag{1.46}$$
$$(C_N)\qquad X_j^{C_N}(t) = u_j + W_j(t) + \int_0^t \frac{1}{X_j^{C_N}(s)} ds$$
$$+\sum_{\substack{1\le k\le N,\\ k\neq j}}\int_0^t \left\{\frac{1}{X_j^{C_N}(s)-X_k^{C_N}(s)}+\frac{1}{X_j^{C_N}(s)+X_k^{C_N}(s)}\right\} ds,$$
$$j=1,2,\dots,N, \text{ in } (0,\infty), \tag{1.47}$$
$$(D_N)\qquad X_j^{D_N}(t) = u_j + W_j(t)$$
$$+\sum_{\substack{1\le k\le N,\\ k\neq j}}\int_0^t \left\{\frac{1}{X_j^{D_N}(s)-X_k^{D_N}(s)}+\frac{1}{X_j^{D_N}(s)+X_k^{D_N}(s)}\right\} ds,$$
$$j=1,2,\dots,N, \text{ in } [0,\infty) \text{ with a reflecting boundary condition at 0.} \tag{1.48}$$

That is, the system (1.42) is reduced to (1.46), (1.43) and (1.44) are degenerated to (1.47), and (1.45) to (1.48). The system (1.46) is the original Dyson model (Dyson's Brownian motion model with parameter

$\beta = 2$) [6, 15]. The SDEs (1.47) and (1.48) are known as the system of *noncolliding absorbing Brownian motions* (or the Dyson model of type C) and the system of *noncolliding reflecting Brownian motions* (or the Dyson model of type D), respectively. See [21, 16, 17, 33, 2, 20, 23].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we give basic equalities (Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2) in order to prove (1.4) and determinantal equalities (Lemma 2.3) obtained from the elliptic determinant evaluations of the Macdonald denominators given by Rosengren and Schlosser [28]. There we explain how to derive the determinantal martingale functions (1.25). Proofs of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 are given in Sections 3 and 4, respectively. We study the temporally homogeneous limit $t_* \to \infty$ in Section 5, where a typical nonequilibrium phenomenon, *relaxation to equilibrium*, is clarified for the trigonometric Dyson models of types C and D. Concluding remarks and open problems are given in Section 6.

# 2 Determinantal Equalities and Determinantal Martingales

## 2.1 Basic equalities

**Lemma 2.1** *Let $N \in \{2, 3, \dots\}$. For $\boldsymbol{u}$ satisfying (1.1), define a set of $N$ distinct entire and non-constant functions of $z \in \mathbb{C}$, $(\Phi_{\boldsymbol{u},u_j}(z))_{j=1}^N$, by (1.2). Then these functions have the following expansions,*

$$\Phi_{\boldsymbol{u},u_j}(z) = \sum_{k=1}^N \phi_{\boldsymbol{u},u_j}(k) f_k(z), \quad j \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}, \tag{2.1}$$

*and the coefficients $\phi_{\boldsymbol{u},u_j}(k), j, k \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ satisfy the relations*

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^N f_j(u_\ell)\phi_{\boldsymbol{u},u_\ell}(k) = \frac{\det\limits_{1\le m,n\le N}\left[f_{m+(j-m)\delta_{mk}}(u_n)\right]}{\det\limits_{1\le m,n\le N}\left[f_m(u_n)\right]}, \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad k \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}. \tag{2.2}$$

*In particular, for $j, k \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$,*

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^N f_j(u_\ell)\phi_{\boldsymbol{u},u_\ell}(k) = \delta_{jk}. \tag{2.3}$$

*Proof.* Consider an $N \times N$ matrix

$$\mathsf{f}_{\boldsymbol{u}} = (f_j(u_k))_{1\le j,k\le N},$$

and write its determinant as $|\mathsf{f}_{\boldsymbol{u}}| \neq 0$. The minor determinant $|\mathsf{f}_{\boldsymbol{u}}(j,k)|$ is defined as the determinant of the $(N-1)\times(N-1)$ matrix, $\mathsf{f}_{\boldsymbol{u}}(j,k)$, which is obtained from $\mathsf{f}_{\boldsymbol{u}}$ by deleting the $j$-th row and the $k$-th column, $j, k \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$. Then the determinant in the numerator of (1.2) is expanded along the $j$-th column and we obtain (2.1) with

$$\phi_{\boldsymbol{u},u_j}(k) = (-1)^{j+k}\frac{|\mathsf{f}_{\boldsymbol{u}}(k,j)|}{|\mathsf{f}_{\boldsymbol{u}}|}. \tag{2.4}$$

Hence

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^N f_j(u_\ell)\phi_{\boldsymbol{u},u_\ell}(k) = \frac{1}{|\mathsf{f}_{\boldsymbol{u}}|}\sum_{\ell=1}^N (-1)^{\ell+k}|\mathsf{f}_{\boldsymbol{u}}(k,\ell)|f_j(u_\ell),$$

which proves (2.2), since the summation in the RHS is the expansion of $\det\limits_{1\le m,n\le N}[f_{m+(j-m)\delta_{mk}}(u_n)]$ along the $k$-th row. It is immediate to conclude (2.3) from (2.2) for $j, k \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$. ∎

Then we obtain the following equalities.

**Lemma 2.2** *(i) For $z \in \mathbb{C}$,*

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{N} f_j(u_\ell)\Phi_{\boldsymbol{u},u_\ell}(z) = f_j(z), \quad j \in \{1,2,\dots,N\}. \tag{2.5}$$

*(ii) For $\boldsymbol{z} = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_N) \in \mathbb{C}^N$, the equality (1.4) holds.*

*Proof.* (i) Multiply the both sides of (2.3) by $f_k(z)$ and take summation over $k \in \{1,2,\dots,N\}$. Then use (2.1) to obtain (2.5). (ii) Consider $N$ distinct equations by putting $z = z_j, j \in \{1,2,\dots,N\}$ in (2.5). Then calculate the determinant $|\mathsf{f}_{\boldsymbol{z}}|$ to prove the determinantal equality (1.4). ∎

## 2.2 Elliptic determinant evaluations of the Macdonald denominators

Assume that, for $\boldsymbol{u} = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_N) \in \mathbb{W}_N$, $\det_{1\le j,k\le N}[f_j(u_k)]$ is factorized in the form

$$\det_{1\le j,k\le N}[f_j(u_k)] = k_0 k_{\mathrm{sym}}(\boldsymbol{u}) \prod_{\ell=1}^{N} k_1(u_\ell) \prod_{1\le j<k\le N} k_2(u_k,u_j), \tag{2.6}$$

where $k_0$ is a constant, $k_1$ is a single variable function, $k_2$ is an antisymmetric function of two variables, $k_2(u,v) = -k_2(v,u)$, and $k_{\mathrm{sym}}(\boldsymbol{u})$ is a symmetric function of $\boldsymbol{u}$ which cannot be factorized as $\prod_{\ell=1}^{N} k_1(u_\ell)$. For $\boldsymbol{u} = (u_1,\dots,u_{j-1},u_j,u_{j+1},\dots,u_N) \in \mathbb{W}_N$, we replace the $j$-th component $u_j$ by a variable $z$ and write the obtained vector as $\boldsymbol{u}^{(j)}(z) = (u_1,\dots,u_{j-1},z,u_{j+1},\dots,u_N)$. Under the assumption (2.6), $\Phi_{\boldsymbol{u},u_j}(z)$ defined by (1.2) is also factorized as

$$\Phi_{\boldsymbol{u},u_j}(z) = \frac{k_{\mathrm{sym}}(\boldsymbol{u}^{(j)}(z))}{k_{\mathrm{sym}}(\boldsymbol{u})} \frac{k_1(z)}{k_1(u_j)} \prod_{\substack{1\le\ell\le N,\\ \ell\ne j}} \frac{k_2(z,u_\ell)}{k_2(u_j,u_\ell)}, \quad j = 1,2,\dots,N. \tag{2.7}$$

Then the determinantal equality (1.4) becomes

$$\det_{1\le j,k\le N}\left[\frac{k_{\mathrm{sym}}(\boldsymbol{u}^{(j)}(z_k))}{k_{\mathrm{sym}}(\boldsymbol{u})} \frac{k_1(z_k)}{k_1(u_j)} \prod_{\substack{1\le\ell\le N,\\ \ell\ne j}} \frac{k_2(z_k,u_\ell)}{k_2(u_j,u_\ell)}\right] = \frac{k_{\mathrm{sym}}(\boldsymbol{z})}{k_{\mathrm{sym}}(\boldsymbol{u})} \prod_{\ell=1}^{N} \frac{k_1(z_\ell)}{k_1(u_\ell)} \prod_{1\le j<k\le N} \frac{k_2(z_k,z_j)}{k_2(u_k,u_j)}, \tag{2.8}$$

$\boldsymbol{z} \in \mathbb{C}^N$, $\boldsymbol{u} \in \mathbb{W}_N$.

In [28] Rosengren and Schlosser gave the elliptic determinant evaluations of the Macdonald denominators of seven families of irreducible reduced affine root systems, $A_{N-1}, B_N, B_N^\vee, C_N, C_N^\vee, BC_N$ and $D_N$. From their determinantal equalities (Proposition 6.1 in [28]), it is easy to verify that our seven choices of $f_j, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ given by (1.13) allow the factorization (2.6) for their determinants. As functions of $\tau$, we write

$$q(\tau) = e^{\tau\pi i}, \quad q_0(\tau) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-q(\tau)^{2n}). \tag{2.9}$$

**Lemma 2.3** *For seven choices of $f_j^R(\cdot;\tau), j \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\Im\tau > 0$, given by (1.13), the equality*

$$\det_{1\le j,k\le N}[f_j^R(u_k;\tau)] = k_0^R(\tau) k_{\mathrm{sym}}^R(\boldsymbol{u};\tau) \prod_{\ell=1}^{N} k_1^R(u_\ell;\tau) \prod_{1\le j<k\le N} k_2^R(u_k,u_j;\tau), \tag{2.10}$$

*holds with the following factors,*

$$
\begin{aligned}
&k_0^{A_{N-1}}(\tau) = i^{-(N-1)(3N+1-(-1)^N)/2} q(\tau)^{-(N-1)(3N-2)/8} q_0(\tau)^{-(N-1)(N-2)/2},\\
&k_0^{B_N}(\tau) = 2q(\tau)^{-N(N-1)/4} q_0(\tau)^{-N(N-1)},\\
&k_0^{B_N^\vee}(\tau) = 2q(\tau)^{-N(N-1)/4} q_0(\tau)^{-(N-1)^2} q_0(2\tau)^{-(N-1)},\\
&k_0^{C_N}(\tau) = i^{-N} q(\tau)^{-N^2/4} q_0(\tau)^{-N(N-1)},\\
&k_0^{C_N^\vee}(\tau) = i^{-N} q(\tau)^{-N(2N-1)/8} q_0(\tau)^{-(N-1)^2} q_0(\tau/2)^{-(N-1)},\\
&k_0^{BC_N}(\tau) = i^{-N} q(\tau)^{-N(N+1)/4} q_0(\tau)^{-N(N-1)} q_0(2\tau)^{-N},\\
&k_0^{D_N}(\tau) = 4q(\tau)^{-N(N-1)/4} q_0(\tau)^{-N(N-2)},
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.11}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&k_{\mathrm{sym}}^{A_{N-1}}(\boldsymbol{u};\tau) = \vartheta_1\left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^N u_j - \kappa_N}{2\pi r};\tau\right),\\
&k_{\mathrm{sym}}^{R}(\boldsymbol{u};\tau) = 1 \quad \textit{for } R = B_N, B_N^\vee, C_N, C_N^\vee, BC_N, D_N,\\
&k_1^{A_{N-1}}(u;\tau) = k_1^{D_N}(u;\tau) = 1,\\
&k_1^{B_N}(u;\tau) = \vartheta_1\left(\frac{u}{2\pi r};\tau\right), \quad k_1^{B_N^\vee}(u;\tau) = \vartheta_1\left(\frac{u}{\pi r};2\tau\right),\\
&k_1^{C_N}(u;\tau) = \vartheta_1\left(\frac{u}{\pi r};\tau\right), \quad k_1^{C_N^\vee}(u;\tau) = \vartheta_1\left(\frac{u}{2\pi r};\frac{\tau}{2}\right), \quad k_1^{BC_N}(u;\tau) = \vartheta_1\left(\frac{u}{2\pi r};\tau\right)\vartheta_0\left(\frac{u}{\pi r};2\tau\right),\\
&k_2^{A_{N-1}}(u,v;\tau) = \vartheta_1\left(\frac{u-v}{2\pi r};\tau\right),\\
&k_2^{R}(u,v;\tau) = \vartheta_1\left(\frac{u-v}{2\pi r};\tau\right)\vartheta_1\left(\frac{u+v}{2\pi r};\tau\right) \quad \textit{for } R = B_N, B_N^\vee, C_N, C_N^\vee, BC_N, D_N.
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.12}
$$

## 2.3 Martingale functions

Since $f_j^R(z;\tau), j \in \mathbb{Z}$ given by (1.13) are entire functions of $z \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$
\widehat{f}_j^R(t,x;\tau) = \widetilde{\mathrm{E}}[f_j^R(x + i\widetilde{B}(t);\tau)], \quad t \in [0,\infty), \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{2.13}
$$

give the single-variable martingale functions such that, if $\widehat{f}_j^R(t,B(t);\tau)$, $t \geq 0, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ are finite, then they give martingales with respect to the filtration $\mathcal{F}_t$ of one-dimensional Brownian motion $B(t), t \geq 0$;

$$
\mathrm{E}[\widehat{f}_j^R(t,B(t);\tau)|\mathcal{F}_s] = \widehat{f}_j^R(s,B(s);\tau), \quad 0 \leq s < t < \infty \quad \text{a.s.}, \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}.
$$

We have found that $\widehat{f}_j^R(t,x;\tau)$'s are expressed using $f_j^R(t,x;\cdot)$ by shifting

$$
\tau \to \tau - \frac{i\mathcal{N}^R t}{2\pi r^2}
$$

with (1.15) and multiplying time-dependent factors. With $0 < t_* < \infty$, we put (1.24).

**Lemma 2.4** *For $f_j^R, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ given by (1.13), we obtain the following infinite series of linearly independent martingale functions,*

$$
\widehat{f}_j^R(t,x;\tau^R(0)) = e^{J^R(j)^2 t/2r^2} f_j^R(x;\tau^R(t)), \quad t \in [0,t_*), \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{2.14}
$$

*where $J^R(j), j \in \mathbb{Z}$ are given by (1.14).*

*Proof.* By (1.13), it is enough to calculate

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{E}}\left[e^{\pm iJ^R(j)(x+i\widetilde{B}(t))/r}\vartheta_1\left(J^R(j)\tau\pm\frac{\mathcal{N}^R(x+i\widetilde{B}(t))}{2\pi r}+\alpha;\mathcal{N}^Rt\right)\right] \tag{2.15}$$

with a constant $\alpha$. By the definition (1.16) of $\vartheta_1$, this is equal to

$$e^{\pm iJ^R(j)x}i\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}(-1)^n e^{\mathcal{N}^R\tau\pi i(n-1/2)^2+(J^R(j)\tau\pm\mathcal{N}^Rx/2\pi r+\alpha)\pi i(2n-1)}\widetilde{\mathrm{E}}\Big[e^{\mp\{J^R(j)+\mathcal{N}^R(2n-1)/2\}\widetilde{B}(t)/r}\Big].$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\mathrm{E}}\Big[e^{\mp\{J^R(j)+\mathcal{N}^R(2n-1)/2\}\widetilde{B}(t)/r}\Big] &= e^{\{J^R(j)+\mathcal{N}^R(2n-1)/2\}^2t/2r^2}\\ &= e^{J^R(j)^2t/2r^2}e^{\mathcal{N}^R(-i\mathcal{N}^Rt/2\pi r^2)\pi i(n-1/2)^2+J^R(j)(-i\mathcal{N}^Rt/2\pi r^2)\pi i(2n-1)},\end{aligned}$$

we can see that (2.15) is equal to

$$e^{J^R(j)^2t/2r^2}e^{\pm iJ^R(j)x/r}\vartheta_1\left(J^R(j)\left(\tau-\frac{i\mathcal{N}^Rt}{2\pi r^2}\right)\pm\frac{\mathcal{N}^Rx}{2\pi r}+\alpha;\mathcal{N}^R\left(\tau-\frac{i\mathcal{N}^Rt}{2\pi r^2}\right)\right).$$

Therefore, if we set $\tau=i\mathcal{N}^Rt_*/2\pi r^2$, (2.14) are obtained. ∎

We call the multivariate function $D_{\boldsymbol{u}}(t,\boldsymbol{x})$ of $\boldsymbol{x}\in\mathbb{R}^N$ defined by (1.6) the *determinantal-martingale function*, since if it is finite, it gives the determinantal martingale when we put the $N$-dimensional Brownian motion $\boldsymbol{B}(t), t\ge 0$ into $\boldsymbol{x}$. The following is proved.

**Proposition 2.5** *For $f_j^R, j\in\mathbb{Z}$ given by (1.13), the determinantal-martingale functions are factorized as follows,*

$$D^R_{\boldsymbol{u}}(t,\boldsymbol{x})=\frac{c_0^R(\tau^R(t))}{c_0^R(\tau^R(0))}\frac{k^R_{\mathrm{sym}}(\boldsymbol{x};\tau^R(t))}{k^R_{\mathrm{sym}}(\boldsymbol{u};\tau^R(0))}\prod_{\ell=1}^N\frac{k_1^R(x_\ell;\tau^R(t))}{k_1^R(u_\ell;\tau^R(0))}\prod_{1\le j<k\le N}\frac{k_2^R(x_k,x_j;\tau^R(t))}{k_2^R(u_k,u_j;\tau^R(0))}, \tag{2.16}$$

*where*

$$c_0^{A_{N-1}}(\tau)=\eta(\tau)^{-(N-1)(N-2)/2} \tag{2.17}$$

*and for $R=B_N$, $B_N^\vee$, $C_N$, $C_N^\vee$, $BC_N$, $D_N$, $c_0^R(\tau)$ are given by (1.26). For $R=B_N$, $B_N^\vee$, $C_N$, $C_N^\vee$, $BC_N$, $D_N$, (2.16) are written as (1.25) with (1.26) and (1.27).*

*Proof.* By the second equality of (1.7) and Lemma 2.4,

$$\begin{aligned}D^R_{\boldsymbol{u}}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) &= \frac{\det_{1\le j,k\le N}[e^{J^R(j)^2t/2r^2}f_j^R(x_k;\tau^R(t))]}{\det_{1\le j,k\le N}[f_j^R(u_k;\tau^R(0))]}\\ &= e^{(t/2r^2)\sum_{j=1}^N J^R(j)^2}\frac{\det_{1\le j,k\le N}[f_j^R(x_k;\tau^R(t))]}{\det_{1\le j,k\le N}[f_j^R(u_k;\tau^R(0))]},\end{aligned}$$

where the multi-linearity of determinant was used in the second equality. Then applying Lemma 2.3, we obtain (2.16) with

$$\frac{c_0^R(\tau^R(t))}{c_0^R(\tau^R(0))}=e^{(t/2r^2)\sum_{j=1}^N J^R(j)^2}\frac{k_0^R(\tau^R(t))}{k_0^R(\tau^R(0))}.$$

By (2.11) and the summation formulas $\sum_{j=1}^N j=N(N+1)/2$, $\sum_{j=1}^N j^2=N(N+1)(2N+1)/6$, we obtain the expressions (1.26) using the Dedekind modular function (1.28), since $\eta(\tau)=e^{\tau\pi i/12}q_0(\tau)$ with (2.9). Thus we can derive readily the expressions (1.25) with (1.26) and (1.27) from (2.16). ∎

# 3 Proof of Theorem 1.2

Assume $t \in [0, t_*)$. By (1.16), we see that if $0 \le x \le 2\pi r$, $0 \le k_1^R(x; \tau^R(t)) < \infty$ for $R = B_N, C_N^\vee, BC_N$; if $0 \le x \le \pi r$, $0 \le k_1^R(x; \tau^R(t)) < \infty$ for $R = B_N^\vee, C_N$; and $k_1^{D_N} \equiv 1$. We also see that if

$$|x_1| < x_2 < \cdots < x_{N-1} < x_N \wedge (2\pi r - x_N), \tag{3.1}$$

then $0 < \prod_{1 \le j < k \le N} k_2^R(x_k, x_j; \tau^R(t)) < \infty$. The inequality $|x_1| < x_2$ means that $x_2$ is greater than both of $x_1$ and its reflection at 0, and $x_{N-1} < x_N \wedge (2\pi r - x_N)$ means that $x_{N-1}$ is smaller than both of $x_N$ and its reflection at $\pi r$. The above observation implies that if $\boldsymbol{u} \in \mathbb{W}_N^{(0,\pi r)}$, then

$$0 \le \prod_{\ell=1}^N k_1^R(B_\ell(t); \tau^R(t)) \prod_{1 \le j < k \le N} k_2^R(B_k(t), B_j(t); \tau^R(t)) < \infty \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \le t \le T_{\text{collision}} \quad \text{a.s. in } \mathrm{P}_{\boldsymbol{u}}^{[0,\pi r]}.$$

Due to the Karlin-McGregor-Lindström-Gessel-Viennot (KMLGV) formula for non-intersecting paths [11, 24, 7], the transition probability density of the $N$ particle system in $[0, \pi r]$ governed by the probability law $\mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{u}}^R$ defined by (1.34) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} p^R(t, \boldsymbol{y}|s, \boldsymbol{x}) &= \frac{c_0^R(\tau^R(t))}{c_0^R(\tau^R(s))} \prod_{\ell=1}^N \frac{k_1^R(y_\ell; \tau^R(t))}{k_1^R(x_\ell; \tau^R(s))} \prod_{1 \le j < k \le N} \frac{k_2^R(y_k, y_j; \tau^R(t))}{k_2^R(x_k, x_j; \tau^R(s))} \\ &\times \det_{1 \le n, n' \le N} [p^{[0,\pi r]}(t-s, y_n|x_{n'})], \quad 0 \le s < t < t_*, \quad \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y} \in \mathbb{W}_N^{(0,\pi r)}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Since we consider the measure-valued stochastic process (1.35), the configuration is unlabeled and hence all the observables at each time should be symmetric functions of particle positions. For an arbitrary number $M \in \mathbb{N}$ and an arbitrary strictly increasing series of times $0 \equiv t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_M < t_*$, let $g_{t_m}(\boldsymbol{x}), m = 1, 2, \ldots, M$, be symmetric functions of $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{W}_N^{(0,\pi r)}$. Then (1.34) and (3.2) give

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{u}}^R \left[ \prod_{m=1}^M g_{t_m}(\boldsymbol{X}(t_m)) \right] \\ &= \mathrm{E}_{\boldsymbol{u}}^{[0,\pi r]} \left[ \prod_{m=1}^M g_{t_m}(\boldsymbol{B}(t_m)) \mathbf{1}(T_{\text{collision}} > t_M) D_{\boldsymbol{u}}^R(t, \boldsymbol{B}(t_M)) \right] \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{W}_N^{(0,\pi r)}} d\boldsymbol{x}^{(1)} \cdots \int_{\mathbb{W}_N^{(0,\pi r)}} d\boldsymbol{x}^{(M)} \prod_{m=1}^M \left\{ \det_{1 \le n, n' \le N} [p^{[0,\pi r]}(t_m - t_{m-1}, x_n^{(m)}|x_{n'}^{(m-1)})] g_{t_m}(\boldsymbol{x}^{(m)}) \right\} \\ &\qquad \times \frac{c_0^R(\tau^R(t_M))}{c_0^R(\tau^R(0))} \prod_{\ell=1}^N \frac{k_1^R(x_\ell^{(M)}; \tau^R(t_M))}{k_1^R(u_\ell; \tau^R(0))} \prod_{1 \le j < k \le N} \frac{k_2^R(x_k^{(M)}, x_j^{(M)}; \tau^R(t_M))}{k_2^R(u_k, u_j; \tau^R(0))}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

By definition of determinant, the above is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\sigma_1 \in \mathcal{S}_N} \cdots \sum_{\sigma_M \in \mathcal{S}_N} \int_{\mathbb{W}_N^{(0,\pi r)}} d\boldsymbol{x}^{(1)} \cdots \int_{\mathbb{W}_N^{(0,\pi r)}} d\boldsymbol{x}^{(M)} \\ &\quad \times \prod_{m=1}^M \left\{ \mathrm{sgn}(\sigma_m) \prod_{n=1}^N p^{[0,\pi r]}(t_m - t_{m-1}, x_{\sigma^{(m)}(n)}^{(m)}|x_{\sigma^{(m-1)}(n)}^{(m-1)}) g_{t_m}(\boldsymbol{x}^{(m)}) \right. \\ &\qquad \left. \times \frac{c_0^R(\tau^R(t_m))}{c_0^R(\tau^R(t_{m-1}))} \prod_{\ell=1}^N \frac{k_1^R(x_\ell^{(m)}; \tau^R(t_m))}{k_1^R(x_\ell^{(m-1)}; \tau^R(t_{m-1}))} \left| \prod_{1 \le j < k \le N} \frac{k_2^R(x_{\sigma^{(m)}(k)}^{(m)}, x_{\sigma^{(m)}(j)}^{(m)}; \tau^R(t_m))}{k_2^R(x_{\sigma^{(m-1)}(k)}^{(m-1)}, x_{\sigma^{(m-1)}(j)}^{(m-1)}; \tau^R(t_{m-1}))} \right| \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{S}_N$ denotes the collection of all permutations of $N$ indices $\{1, 2, \ldots, N\}$, $\sigma^{(m)} \equiv \sigma_1 \circ \sigma_2 \circ \cdots \circ \sigma_m$, $m \ge 1$, $\sigma^{(0)} = \mathrm{id.}$, and $x_j^{(0)} = u_j, j = 1, \ldots, N$. Here we used the fact that $g_{t_m}(\boldsymbol{x})$'s and $\prod_{\ell=1}^N k_1^R(x_\ell, \cdot)$ are

symmetric functions of $\boldsymbol{x}$. By the definition of $\mathbb{W}_N^{(0,\pi r)}$ and the fact that

$$\prod_{1\le j<k\le N} k_2^R(x_{\sigma(k)},x_{\sigma(j)};\cdot)=\mathrm{sgn}(\sigma)\prod_{1\le j<k\le N} k_2^R(x_k,x_j;\cdot),\quad \sigma\in\mathcal{S}_N,$$

this is equal to

$$\int_{[0,\pi r]^N} d\boldsymbol{x}^{(1)}\cdots\int_{[0,\pi r]^N} d\boldsymbol{x}^{(M)}\prod_{m=1}^M\left\{\prod_{n=1}^N p^{[0,\pi r]}(t_m-t_{m-1},x_n^{(m)}|x_n^{(m-1)})g_{t_m}(\boldsymbol{x}^{(m)})\right\}$$
$$\times\frac{c_0^R(\tau^R(t_M))}{c_0^R(\tau^R(0))}\prod_{\ell=1}^N\frac{k_1^R(x_\ell^{(M)};\tau^R(t_M))}{k_1^R(u_\ell;\tau^R(0))}\prod_{1\le j<k\le N}\frac{k_2^R(x_k^{(M)},x_j^{(M)};\tau^R(t_M))}{k_2^R(u_k,u_j;\tau^R(0))}.$$

Therefore, we obtain the equality

$$\mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{u}}^R\left[\prod_{m=1}^M g_{t_m}(\boldsymbol{X}(t_m))\right]=\mathrm{E}_{\boldsymbol{u}}^{[0,\pi r]}\left[\prod_{m=1}^M g_{t_m}(\boldsymbol{B}(t_m))D_{\boldsymbol{u}}^R(t_M,\boldsymbol{B}(t_M))\right],\tag{3.4}$$

that is, the noncolliding condition, $T_{\mathrm{collision}}>t_M$, can be omitted in (3.3). The expression (3.4) is interpreted as the expectation of the product of symmetric functions $\prod_{m=1}^M g_{t_m}(\cdot)$ with respect to the signed measure

$$\widehat{\mathbb{P}}_{\boldsymbol{u}}^R\Big|_{\mathcal{F}_t}=D_{\boldsymbol{u}}^R(t,\boldsymbol{B}(t))\mathrm{P}_{\boldsymbol{u}}^{[0,\pi r]}\Big|_{\mathcal{F}_t},\quad t\in[0,t_*),\tag{3.5}$$

which is a modification of (1.8) obtained by replacing $\mathrm{P}_{\boldsymbol{u}}$ by $\mathrm{P}_{\boldsymbol{u}}^{[0,\pi r]}$ and $D_{\boldsymbol{u}}$ by $D_{\boldsymbol{u}}^R$. Then we can apply Proposition 1.1, where we replace $p_{\mathrm{BM}}$ by $p^{[0,\pi r]}$ and use the proper martingale functions given by (1.37) with (1.38). Thus the proof is completed. ∎

# 4 Proof of Theorem 1.3

Theorem 1.3 is concluded from the following key lemma.

**Lemma 4.1** *The transition probability densities $p^{B_N}$, $p^{C_N}$ and $p^{D_N}$ given by (3.2) for $R=B_N$, $C_N$, and $D_N$ solve the following backward Kolmogorov equations*

$$-\frac{\partial p^{B_N}(t,\boldsymbol{y}|s,\boldsymbol{x})}{\partial s}=\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^N\frac{\partial^2 p^{B_N}(t,\boldsymbol{y}|s,\boldsymbol{x})}{\partial x_j^2}+\sum_{j=1}^N A_{2N-1}^{2\pi r}(t_*-s,x_j)\frac{\partial p^{B_N}(t,\boldsymbol{y}|s,\boldsymbol{x})}{\partial x_j}$$
$$+\sum_{\substack{1\le j,k\le N,\\ j\ne k}}(A_{2N-1}^{2\pi r}(t_*-s,x_j-x_k)+A_{2N-1}^{2\pi r}(t_*-s,x_j+x_k))\frac{\partial p^{B_N}(t,\boldsymbol{y}|s,\boldsymbol{x})}{\partial x_j},\tag{4.1}$$

$$-\frac{\partial p^{C_N}(t,\boldsymbol{y}|s,\boldsymbol{x})}{\partial s}=\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^N\frac{\partial^2 p^{C_N}(t,\boldsymbol{y}|s,\boldsymbol{x})}{\partial x_j^2}+2\sum_{j=1}^N A_{2(N+1)}^{2\pi r}(t_*-s,2x_j)\frac{\partial p^{C_N}(t,\boldsymbol{y}|s,\boldsymbol{x})}{\partial x_j}$$
$$+\sum_{\substack{1\le j,k\le N,\\ j\ne k}}(A_{2(N+1)}^{2\pi r}(t_*-s,x_j-x_k)+A_{2(N+1)}^{2\pi r}(t_*-s,x_j+x_k))\frac{\partial p^{C_N}(t,\boldsymbol{y}|s,\boldsymbol{x})}{\partial x_j},\tag{4.2}$$

$$-\frac{\partial p^{D_N}(t,\boldsymbol{y}|s,\boldsymbol{x})}{\partial s}=\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^N\frac{\partial^2 p^{D_N}(t,\boldsymbol{y}|s,\boldsymbol{x})}{\partial x_j^2}$$
$$+\sum_{\substack{1\le j,k\le N,\\ j\ne k}}(A_{2(N-1)}^{2\pi r}(t_*-s,x_j-x_k)+A_{2(N-1)}^{2\pi r}(t_*-s,x_j+x_k))\frac{\partial p^{D_N}(t,\boldsymbol{y}|s,\boldsymbol{x})}{\partial x_j},\tag{4.3}$$

*for* $\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y} \in \mathbb{W}_N^{(0,\pi r)}, 0 \le s < t < t_*$, *under the conditions*

$$\lim_{s\uparrow t} p^{B_N}(t, \boldsymbol{y}|s, \boldsymbol{x}) = \lim_{s\uparrow t} p^{C_N}(t, \boldsymbol{y}|s, \boldsymbol{x}) = \lim_{s\uparrow t} p^{D_N}(t, \boldsymbol{y}|s, \boldsymbol{x}) = \prod_{j=1}^{N} \delta(x_j - y_j). \tag{4.4}$$

*Proof.* For given $t \in (0, t_*)$ and $\boldsymbol{y} \in \mathbb{W}_N^{(0,\pi r)}$, put

$$u(s, \boldsymbol{x}) = w(s, \boldsymbol{x}) q^{[0,\pi r]}(t - s, \boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{x}), \quad 0 < s < t, \quad \boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{W}_N^{(0,\pi r)} \tag{4.5}$$

with the KMLGV determinant

$$q^{[0,\pi r]}(t - s, \boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{x}) = \det_{1\le j,k\le N}[p^{[0,\pi r]}(t - s, y_j|x_k)], \tag{4.6}$$

where $w(s, \boldsymbol{x})$ is a $\mathrm{C}^{1,2}$-function which will be specified later, and $p^{[0,\pi r]} = p_{\mathrm{aa}}^{[0,\pi r]}$ given by (1.31) for $R = C_N$, $p^{[0,\pi r]} = p_{\mathrm{rr}}^{[0,\pi r]}$ given by (1.32) for $R = D_N$. Since $p^{[0,\pi r]}$ is the transition probability density of a boundary-conditioned Brownian motion, it solves the diffusion equation

$$-\frac{\partial p^{[0,\pi r]}(t - s, y|x)}{\partial s} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2 p^{[0,\pi r]}(t - s, y|x)}{\partial x^2}$$

with $\lim_{s\uparrow t} p^{[0,\pi r]}(t - s, y|x) = \delta(x - y)$. The KMLGV determinant (4.6) is the summation of products of $p^{[0,\pi r]}$'s, and hence

$$-\frac{\partial q(t - s, \boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{x})}{\partial s} = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{N}\frac{\partial^2 q(t - s, \boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{x})}{\partial x_j^2}$$

is satisfied. It is easy to verify that

$$\lim_{s\uparrow t} q(t - s, \boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{x}) = \prod_{j=1}^{N} \delta(x_j - y_j). \tag{4.7}$$

Therefore, the following equation holds,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial s} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{N}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2}\right\} u(s, \boldsymbol{x}) \\
&\quad = q^{[0,\pi r]}(t - s, \boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{x}) \left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial s} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{N}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2}\right\} w(s, \boldsymbol{x}) + \sum_{j=1}^{N}\frac{\partial w(s, \boldsymbol{x})}{\partial x_j}\frac{\partial q^{[0,\pi r]}(t - s, \boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{x})}{\partial x_j}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N}\frac{\partial w(s, \boldsymbol{x})}{\partial x_j}\frac{\partial u(s, \boldsymbol{x})}{\partial x_j} = q^{[0,\pi r]}(t - s, \boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{x})\sum_{j=1}^{N}\left(\frac{\partial w(s, \boldsymbol{x})}{\partial x_j}\right)^2 + w(s, \boldsymbol{x})\sum_{j=1}^{N}\frac{\partial w(s, \boldsymbol{x})}{\partial x_j}\frac{\partial q^{[0,\pi r]}(t - s, \boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{x})}{\partial x_j},$$

the above equation is written as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial s} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{N}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2} - \frac{1}{w(s, \boldsymbol{x})}\sum_{j=1}^{N}\frac{\partial w(s, \boldsymbol{x})}{\partial x_j}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\right\} u(s, \boldsymbol{x}) \\
&\quad = q^{[0,\pi r]}(t - s, \boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{x}) \left[\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial s} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{N}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2}\right\} w(s, \boldsymbol{x}) - \frac{1}{w(s, \boldsymbol{x})}\sum_{j=1}^{N}\left(\frac{\partial w(s, \boldsymbol{x})}{\partial x_j}\right)^2\right].
\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

Now we put

$$\begin{aligned} w(s,\boldsymbol{x}) &= w^R(s,\boldsymbol{x}) \\ &\equiv \left\{ g^R(s) \prod_{\ell=1}^N \vartheta_1\left(\frac{c_1^R x_\ell}{2\pi r};\tau^R(s)\right) \prod_{1\le j<k\le N} \vartheta_1\left(\frac{x_k-x_j}{2\pi r};\tau^R(s)\right)\vartheta_1\left(\frac{x_k+x_j}{2\pi r};\tau^R(s)\right)\right\}^{-1} \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

for $R = B_N, C_N$, and

$$\begin{aligned} w(s,\boldsymbol{x}) &= w^{D_N}(s,\boldsymbol{x}) \\ &\equiv \left\{ g^{D_N}(s) \prod_{1\le j<k\le N} \vartheta_1\left(\frac{x_k-x_j}{2\pi r};\tau^R(s)\right)\vartheta_1\left(\frac{x_k+x_j}{2\pi r};\tau^R(s)\right)\right\}^{-1} \end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

for $R = D_N$, where $g^R(s)$, $R = B_N, C_N, D_N$, are $\mathrm{C}^1$-functions of $s$, which will be determined later, and $c_1^{B_N} = 1$, $c_1^{C_N} = 2$. We define $\alpha^R = 1$ for $R = B_N$ and $C_N$, and $\alpha^R = 0$ for $R = D_N$. We put $c_1^{D_N} = 1$ for convention. By (1.19) with (1.20), we see that

$$-\frac{1}{w^R(s,\boldsymbol{x})}\frac{\partial w^R(s,\boldsymbol{x})}{\partial x_j} = \alpha^R c_1^R A^{2\pi r}_{\mathcal{N}^R}(t_*-s, c_1^R x_j) + \sum_{\substack{1\le k\le N,\\ k\ne j}} (A^{2\pi r}_{\mathcal{N}^R}(t_*-s, x_j-x_k) + A^{2\pi r}_{\mathcal{N}^R}(t_*-s, x_j+x_k)), \tag{4.11}$$

$j = 1, 2, \ldots, N$, and if we take the summations of (4.11) over $j = 1, 2, \ldots, N$ for $R = B_N, C_N, D_N$, they give the drift terms in (4.1)-(4.3). Therefore, if we can choose $g^R(s)$ so that the equation

$$\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial s} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2}\right\} w^R(s,\boldsymbol{x}) - \frac{1}{w^R(s,\boldsymbol{x})}\sum_{j=1}^N \left(\frac{\partial w^R(s,\boldsymbol{x})}{\partial x_j}\right)^2 = 0 \tag{4.12}$$

holds, then the RHS of (4.8) vanishes and we can conclude that $u(s,\boldsymbol{x})$ given in the form (4.5) with (4.9) and (4.10) solve the Kolmogorov equations (4.1)-(4.3).

From (4.9) and (4.10) with (1.24), we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{w^R(s,\boldsymbol{x})}\frac{\partial w^R(s,\boldsymbol{x})}{\partial s} &= -\frac{1}{g^R(s)}\frac{dg^R(s)}{ds} + \alpha^R \frac{i\mathcal{N}^R}{2\pi r^2}\sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\dot\vartheta_1(c_1^R x_j/2\pi r;\tau^R(s))}{\vartheta_1(c_1^R x_j/2\pi r;\tau^R(s))} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\frac{i\mathcal{N}^R}{2\pi r^2}\sum_{\substack{1\le j,k\le N,\\ j\ne k}} \left\{\frac{\dot\vartheta_1((x_j-x_k)/2\pi r;\tau^R(s))}{\vartheta_1((x_j-x_k)/2\pi r;\tau^R(s))} + \frac{\dot\vartheta_1((x_j+x_k)/2\pi r;\tau^R(s))}{\vartheta_1((x_j+x_k)/2\pi r;\tau^R(s))}\right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\dot\vartheta_1(x;\tau) = d\vartheta_1(x;\tau)/d\tau$. If we use the equation (1.17), then the above is written as

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{w^R(s,\boldsymbol{x})}\frac{\partial w^R(s,\boldsymbol{x})}{\partial s} \\ &= -\frac{1}{g^R(s)}\frac{dg^R(s)}{ds} + \alpha^R \frac{\mathcal{N}^R}{8\pi^2 r^2}\sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\vartheta_1''(c_1^R x_j/2\pi r;\tau^R(s))}{\vartheta_1(c_1^R x_j/2\pi r;\tau^R(s))} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathcal{N}^R}{8\pi^2 r^2}\sum_{\substack{1\le j,k\le N,\\ j\ne k}} \left\{\frac{\vartheta_1''((x_j-x_k)/2\pi r;\tau^R(s))}{\vartheta_1((x_j-x_k)/2\pi r;\tau^R(s))} + \frac{\vartheta_1''((x_j+x_k)/2\pi r;\tau^R(s))}{\vartheta_1((x_j+x_k)/2\pi r;\tau^R(s))}\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

From (4.11), we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\partial^2 w^R(s,\boldsymbol{x})}{\partial x_j^2}\\
&= -w^R(s,\boldsymbol{x})\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\left\{\alpha^R c_1^R A^{2\pi r}_{\mathcal{N}^R}(t_*-s,c_1^R x_j)+\sum_{\substack{1\le k\le N,\\ k\neq j}}(A^{2\pi r}_{\mathcal{N}^R}(t_*-s,x_j-x_k)+A^{2\pi r}_{\mathcal{N}^R}(t_*-s,x_j+x_k))\right\}\\
&+ \frac{1}{w^R(s,\boldsymbol{x})}\left(\frac{\partial w^R(s,\boldsymbol{x})}{\partial x_j}\right)^2,
\end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\partial^2 w^R(s,\boldsymbol{x})}{\partial x_j^2}-\frac{1}{w^R(s,\boldsymbol{x})}\sum_{j=1}^N\left(\frac{\partial w^R(s,\boldsymbol{x})}{\partial x_j}\right)^2\\
&\quad=-\frac{1}{2}w^R(s,\boldsymbol{x})\sum_{j=1}^N\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\left\{\alpha^R c_1^R A^{2\pi r}_{\mathcal{N}^R}(t_*-s,c_1^R x_j)\right.\right.\\
&\qquad\qquad\left.+\sum_{\substack{1\le k\le N,\\ k\neq j}}(A^{2\pi r}_{\mathcal{N}^R}(t_*-s,x_j-x_k)+A^{2\pi r}_{\mathcal{N}^R}(t_*-s,x_j+x_k))\right\}\\
&\qquad\left.+\left\{\alpha^R c_1^R A^{2\pi r}_{\mathcal{N}^R}(t_*-s,c_1^R x_j)+\sum_{\substack{1\le k\le N,\\ k\neq j}}(A^{2\pi r}_{\mathcal{N}^R}(t_*-s,x_j-x_k)+A^{2\pi r}_{\mathcal{N}^R}(t_*-s,x_j+x_k))\right\}^2\right]
\end{aligned}$$

By definition (1.19),

$$\frac{\partial A^{2\pi r}_{\mathcal{N}^R}(t_*-s,c_1^R x)}{\partial x}=\frac{c_1^R}{(2\pi r)^2}\frac{\vartheta_1''(c_1^R x/2\pi r;\tau^R(s))}{\vartheta_1(c_1^R x/2\pi r;\tau^R(s))}-c_1^R(A^{2\pi r}_{\mathcal{N}^R}(t_*-s,c_1^R x))^2. \tag{4.13}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{j=1}^N\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\left\{\alpha^R c_1^R A^{2\pi r}_{\mathcal{N}^R}(t_*-s,c_1^R x_j)+\sum_{\substack{1\le k\le N,\\ k\neq j}}(A^{2\pi r}_{\mathcal{N}^R}(t_*-s,x_j-x_k)+A^{2\pi r}_{\mathcal{N}^R}(t_*-s,x_j+x_k))\right\}\right.\\
&\qquad\left.+\left\{\alpha^R c_1^R A^{2\pi r}_{\mathcal{N}^R}(t_*-s,c_1^R x_j)+\sum_{\substack{1\le k\le N,\\ k\neq j}}(A^{2\pi r}_{\mathcal{N}^R}(t_*-s,x_j-x_k)+A^{2\pi r}_{\mathcal{N}^R}(t_*-s,x_j+x_k))\right\}^2\right]
\end{aligned}$$

is equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\alpha^R \frac{(c_1^R)^2}{(2\pi r)^2} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{\vartheta_1''(c_1^R x_j/2\pi r;\tau^R(s))}{\vartheta_1(c_1^R x_j/2\pi r;\tau^R(s))} \\
&+\frac{1}{(2\pi r)^2} \sum_{\substack{1\le j,k\le N,\\ j\neq k}} \left\{ \frac{\vartheta_1''((x_j-x_k)/2\pi r;\tau^R(s))}{\vartheta_1((x_j-x_k)/2\pi r;\tau^R(s))} + \frac{\vartheta_1''((x_j+x_k)/2\pi r;\tau^R(s))}{\vartheta_1((x_j+x_k)/2\pi r;\tau^R(s))} \right\}. \\
&+2\alpha^R c_1^R \sum_{\substack{1\le j,k\le N,\\ j\neq k}} A_{\mathcal{N}^R}^{2\pi r}(t_*-s,c_1^R x_j)(A_{\mathcal{N}^R}^{2\pi r}(t_*-s,x_j-x_k)+A_{\mathcal{N}^R}^{2\pi r}(t_*-s,x_j+x_k)) \\
&+\sum_{\substack{1\le j,k,\ell\le N,\\ j\neq k\neq \ell\neq j}} (A_{\mathcal{N}^R}^{2\pi r}(t_*-s,x_j-x_k)+A_{\mathcal{N}^R}^{2\pi r}(t_*-s,x_j+x_k)) \\
&\qquad\qquad \times (A_{\mathcal{N}^R}^{2\pi r}(t_*-s,x_j-x_\ell)+A_{\mathcal{N}^R}^{2\pi r}(t_*-s,x_j+x_\ell)),
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used the fact

$$
\sum_{\substack{1\le j,k\le N,\\ j\neq k}} A_{\mathcal{N}^R}^{2\pi r}(t_*-s,x_j-x_k) A_{\mathcal{N}^R}^{2\pi r}(t_*-s,x_j+x_k) = 0
$$

concluded from (1.20). Then (4.12) holds, if

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-\frac{1}{g^R(s)}\frac{dg^R(s)}{ds} + \alpha^R \frac{\mathcal{N}^R-(c_1^R)^2}{8\pi^2 r^2} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{\vartheta_1''(c_1^R x_j/2\pi r;\tau^R(s))}{\vartheta_1(c_1^R x_j/2\pi r;\tau^R(s))} \\
&+\frac{\mathcal{N}^R-2}{16\pi^2 r^2} \sum_{\substack{1\le j,k\le N,\\ j\neq k}} \left\{ \frac{\vartheta_1''((x_j-x_k)/2\pi r;\tau^R(s))}{\vartheta_1((x_j-x_k)/2\pi r;\tau^R(s))} + \frac{\vartheta_1''((x_j+x_k)/2\pi r;\tau^R(s))}{\vartheta_1((x_j+x_k)/2\pi r;\tau^R(s))} \right\} \\
&-\alpha^R c_1^R \sum_{\substack{1\le j,k\le N,\\ j\neq k}} A_{\mathcal{N}^R}^{2\pi r}(t_*-s,c_1^R x_j)(A_{\mathcal{N}^R}^{2\pi r}(t_*-s,x_j-x_k)+A_{\mathcal{N}^R}^{2\pi r}(t_*-s,x_j+x_k)) \\
&-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{1\le j,k,\ell\le N,\\ j\neq k\neq \ell\neq j}} (A_{\mathcal{N}^R}^{2\pi r}(t_*-s,x_j-x_k)+A_{\mathcal{N}^R}^{2\pi r}(t_*-s,x_j+x_k)) \\
&\qquad\qquad \times (A_{\mathcal{N}^R}^{2\pi r}(t_*-s,x_j-x_\ell)+A_{\mathcal{N}^R}^{2\pi r}(t_*-s,x_j+x_\ell)) = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.14}
$$

Now we use the following expressions for $A_{\mathcal{N}^R}^{2\pi r}$ and its spatial derivative [13, 14],

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{\mathcal{N}^R}^{2\pi r}(t_*-s,c_1^R x) &= \zeta_{\mathcal{N}^R}(t_*-s,c_1^R x) - c_1^R \frac{\eta_{\mathcal{N}^R}^1(t_*-s)x}{\pi r}, \\
\frac{\partial A_{\mathcal{N}^R}^{2\pi r}(t_*-s,c_1^R x)}{\partial x} &= -c_1^R \wp_{\mathcal{N}^R}(t_*-s,c_1^R x) - c_1^R \frac{\eta_{\mathcal{N}^R}^1(t_*-s)}{\pi r},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.15}
$$

where we use the notations

$$
\begin{aligned}
\zeta_{\mathcal{N}^R}(t_*-s,x) &= \zeta(x|2\omega_1,2\omega_3)\Big|_{\omega_1=\pi r,\omega_3=i\mathcal{N}^R(t_*-s)/2r}, \\
\wp_{\mathcal{N}^R}(t_*-s,x) &= \wp(x|2\omega_1,2\omega_3)\Big|_{\omega_1=\pi r,\omega_3=i\mathcal{N}^R(t_*-s)/2r},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.16}
$$

where the Weierstrass $\wp$ function and zeta function $\zeta$ are defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\wp(z|2\omega_1,2\omega_3) &= \frac{1}{z^2}+\sum_{(m,n)\in\mathbb{Z}^2\setminus\{(0,0)\}}\left[\frac{1}{(z-\Omega_{m,n})^2}-\frac{1}{{\Omega_{m,n}}^2}\right],\\ \zeta(z|2\omega_1,2\omega_3) &= \frac{1}{z}+\sum_{(m,n)\in\mathbb{Z}^2\setminus\{(0,0)\}}\left[\frac{1}{z-\Omega_{m,n}}+\frac{1}{\Omega_{m,n}}+\frac{z}{{\Omega_{m,n}}^2}\right],\quad \Omega_{m,n}=2m\omega_1+2n\omega_3,\end{aligned}$$

and $\eta^1_{\mathcal{N}^R}(t_*-s)$ by (1.29). Applying (4.15) to (4.13) gives

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{(2\pi r)^2}\frac{\vartheta_1''(c_1^Rx/2\pi r;\tau^R(s))}{\vartheta_1(c_1^Rx/2\pi r;\tau^R(s))} &= \left(\zeta_{\mathcal{N}^R}(t_*-s,c_1^Rx)-c_1^R\frac{\eta^1_{\mathcal{N}^R}(t_*-s)x}{\pi r}\right)^2\\ &- \wp_{\mathcal{N}^R}(t_*-s,c_1^Rx)-\frac{\eta^1_{\mathcal{N}^R}(t_*-s)}{\pi r}.\end{aligned}\tag{4.17}$$

Using (4.15) and (4.17), the LHS of (4.14) can be written using $\zeta_{\mathcal{N}^R},\wp_{\mathcal{N}^R}$ and $\eta^1_{\mathcal{N}^R}$. Moreover, from the functional equation

$$(\zeta_{\mathcal{N}^R}(t_*-s,z+u)-\zeta_{\mathcal{N}^R}(t_*-s,z)-\zeta_{\mathcal{N}^R}(t_*-s,u))^2=\wp_{\mathcal{N}^R}(t_*-s,z+u)+\wp_{\mathcal{N}^R}(t_*-s,z)+\wp_{\mathcal{N}^R}(t_*-s,u)\tag{4.18}$$

(see Section 20.41 in [35]), we can derive the following equalities,

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{\substack{1\le j,k,\ell\le N,\\ j\neq k\neq\ell\neq j}}(\zeta_{\mathcal{N}^R}(t_*-s,x_j-x_k)+\zeta_{\mathcal{N}^R}(t_*-s,x_j+x_k))(\zeta_{\mathcal{N}^R}(t_*-s,x_j-x_\ell)+\zeta_{\mathcal{N}^R}(t_*-s,x_j+x_\ell))\\ &=(N-2)\sum_{\substack{1\le j,k\le N,\\ j\neq k}}(\zeta_{\mathcal{N}^R}(t_*-s,x_j-x_k)^2+\zeta_{\mathcal{N}^R}(t_*-s,x_j+x_k)^2)\\ &-(N-2)\sum_{\substack{1\le j,k\le N,\\ j\neq k}}(\wp_{\mathcal{N}^R}(t_*-s,x_j-x_k)+\wp_{\mathcal{N}^R}(t_*-s,x_j+x_k)),\end{aligned}\tag{4.19}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{\substack{1\le j,k\le N,\\ j\neq k}}\zeta_{\mathcal{N}^R}(t_*-s,x_j)(\zeta_{\mathcal{N}^R}(t_*-s,x_j-x_k)+\zeta_{\mathcal{N}^R}(t_*-s,x_j+x_k))\\ &=\frac{1}{4}\sum_{\substack{1\le j,k\le N,\\ j\neq k}}(\zeta_{\mathcal{N}^R}(t_*-s,x_j-x_k)^2+\zeta_{\mathcal{N}^R}(t_*-s,x_j+x_k)^2)+(N-1)\sum_{j=1}^N\zeta_{\mathcal{N}^R}(t_*-s,x_j)^2\\ &-\frac{1}{4}\sum_{\substack{1\le j,k\le N,\\ j\neq k}}(\wp_{\mathcal{N}^R}(t_*-s,x_j-x_k)+\wp_{\mathcal{N}^R}(t_*-s,x_j+x_k))-(N-1)\sum_{j=1}^N\wp_{\mathcal{N}^R}(t_*-s,x_j),\end{aligned}\tag{4.20}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{\substack{1\le j,k\le N,\\ j\neq k}}\zeta_{\mathcal{N}^R}(t_*-s,2x_j)(\zeta_{\mathcal{N}^R}(t_*-s,x_j-x_k)+\zeta_{\mathcal{N}^R}(t_*-s,x_j+x_k))\\ &=\frac{1}{2}\sum_{\substack{1\le j,k\le N,\\ j\neq k}}(\zeta_{\mathcal{N}^R}(t_*-s,x_j-x_k)^2+\zeta_{\mathcal{N}^R}(t_*-s,x_j+x_k)^2)+\frac{N-1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^N\zeta_{\mathcal{N}^R}(t_*-s,2x_j)^2\\ &-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{\substack{1\le j,k\le N,\\ j\neq k}}(\wp_{\mathcal{N}^R}(t_*-s,x_j-x_k)+\wp_{\mathcal{N}^R}(t_*-s,x_j+x_k))-\frac{N-1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^N\wp_{\mathcal{N}^R}(t_*-s,2x_j).\end{aligned}\tag{4.21}$$

Since $\eta_{\mathcal{N}^R}(t_*-s,-x)=-\eta_{\mathcal{N}^R}(t_*-s,x)$, we have

$$\sum_{\substack{1\le j,k,\ell\le N,\\ j\ne k\ne\ell\ne j}}(\zeta_{\mathcal{N}^R}(t_*-s,x_j-x_k)+\zeta_{\mathcal{N}^R}(t_*-s,x_j+x_k))\{(x_j-x_k)+(x_j+x_\ell)\}$$
$$=(N-2)\sum_{\substack{1\le j,k\le N,\\ j\ne k}}\{\zeta_{\mathcal{N}^R}(t_*-s,x_j-x_k)(x_j-x_k)+\zeta_{\mathcal{N}^R}(t_*-s,x_j+x_k)(x_j+x_k)\}, \quad (4.22)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\substack{1\le j,k,\ell\le N,\\ j\ne k\ne\ell\ne j}}\{(x_j-x_k)(x_j-x_\ell)+(x_j+x_k)(x_j+x_\ell)\} &= (N-2)\sum_{\substack{1\le j,k\le N,\\ j\ne k}}\{(x_j-x_k)^2+(x_j+x_k)^2\}\\ &= 4(N-1)(N-2)\sum_{j=1}^N x_j^2. \quad (4.23)\end{aligned}$$

Using the above formulas and the values of $\mathcal{N}^R$ given by (1.15), we can show that (4.14) is reduced to the following simple equations,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d\log g^{B_N}(s)}{ds} &= -N(N-1)(2N-1)\frac{\eta^1_{2N-1}(t_*-s)}{2\pi r},\\ \frac{d\log g^{C_N}(s)}{ds} &= -N(N^2-1)\frac{\eta^1_{2(N+1)}(t_*-s)}{\pi r},\\ \frac{d\log g^{D_N}(s)}{ds} &= -N(N-1)(N-2)\frac{\eta^1_{2(N-1)}(t_*-s)}{\pi r}. \quad (4.24)\end{aligned}$$

They are the conditions for $g^R(s)$ so that (4.12) holds. Since (1.30) gives for $R=B_N,C_N,D_N$,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d\log\eta(\tau^{B_N}(s))}{ds} &= (2N-1)\frac{\eta^1_{2N-1}(t_*-s)}{2\pi r},\\ \frac{d\log\eta(\tau^{C_N}(s))}{ds} &= (N+1)\frac{\eta^1_{2(N+1)}(t_*-s)}{\pi r},\\ \frac{d\log\eta(\tau^{D_N}(s))}{ds} &= (N-1)\frac{\eta^1_{2(N-1)}(t_*-s)}{\pi r},\end{aligned}$$

we find that

$$\begin{aligned}g^{B_N}(s) &= c^{B_N}\eta(\tau^{B_N}(s))^{-N(N-1)},\\ g^{C_N}(s) &= c^{C_N}\eta(\tau^{C_N}(s))^{-N(N-1)},\\ g^{D_N}(s) &= c^{D_N}\eta(\tau^{D_N}(s))^{-N(N-2)},\end{aligned}$$

with constants $c^R$, $R=B_N,C_N,D_N$. By (4.7), the conditions (4.4) determine the constants.

In conclusion, under the condition (4.4),

$$p^{B_N}(t,\boldsymbol{y}|s,\boldsymbol{x})=\left(\frac{\eta(\tau^{B_N}(t))}{\eta(\tau^{B_N}(s))}\right)^{-N(N-1)}\prod_{\ell=1}^N\frac{\vartheta_1(y_\ell/2\pi r;\tau^{B_N}(t))}{\vartheta_1(x_\ell/2\pi r;\tau^{B_N}(s))}$$
$$\times\prod_{1\le j<k\le N}\frac{\vartheta_1((y_k-y_j)/2\pi r;\tau^{B_N}(t))}{\vartheta_1((x_k-x_j)/2\pi r;\tau^{B_N}(s))}\frac{\vartheta_1((y_k+y_j)/2\pi r;\tau^{B_N}(t))}{\vartheta_1((x_k+x_j)/2\pi r;\tau^{B_N}(s))}q_{\rm ar}^{[0,\pi r]}(t-s,\boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{x})$$

solves (4.1),

$$p^{C_N}(t,\boldsymbol{y}|s,\boldsymbol{x}) = \left(\frac{\eta(\tau^{C_N}(t))}{\eta(\tau^{C_N}(s))}\right)^{-N(N-1)} \prod_{\ell=1}^{N} \frac{\vartheta_1(y_\ell/\pi r;\tau^{B_N}(t))}{\vartheta_1(x_\ell/\pi r;\tau^{C_N}(s))}$$
$$\times \prod_{1\le j<k\le N} \frac{\vartheta_1((y_k-y_j)/2\pi r;\tau^{C_N}(t))}{\vartheta_1((x_k-x_j)/2\pi r;\tau^{C_N}(s))} \frac{\vartheta_1((y_k+y_j)/2\pi r;\tau^{C_N}(t))}{\vartheta_1((x_k+x_j)/2\pi r;\tau^{C_N}(s))} q_{\rm aa}^{[0,\pi r]}(t-s,\boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{x})$$

solves (4.2), and

$$p^{D_N}(t,\boldsymbol{y}|s,\boldsymbol{x}) = \left(\frac{\eta(\tau^{D_N}(t))}{\eta(\tau^{D_N}(s))}\right)^{-N(N-2)}$$
$$\times \prod_{1\le j<k\le N} \frac{\vartheta_1((y_k-y_j)/2\pi r;\tau^{D_N}(t))}{\vartheta_1((x_k-x_j)/2\pi r;\tau^{D_N}(s))} \frac{\vartheta_1((y_k+y_j)/2\pi r;\tau^{D_N}(t))}{\vartheta_1((x_k+x_j)/2\pi r;\tau^{D_N}(s))} q_{\rm rr}^{[0,\pi r]}(t-s,\boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{x})$$

solves (4.3). The proof is thus completed. ∎

*Proof of Theorem 1.3*
It is obvious that the backward Kolmogorov equations (4.1)-(4.3) correspond to the systems of SDEs (1.39)-(1.41), respectively [27, 15]. Due to the behavior (1.21) of $A_{\mathcal{N}}^{2\pi r}$, we can show that [27, 15] particles in $[0,\pi r]$ following (1.39) do not arrive at 0, and those following (1.40) do not arrive at 0 nor $\pi r$ with probability one, and thus we do not need to impose any boundary condition at these endpoints of $[0,\pi r]$ for these systems of SDEs. Hence the proof is completed. ∎

# 5 Relaxation to Equilibrium Processes in Trigonometric Dyson Models of Types C and D

As a corollary of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3, we obtain the following trigonometric determinantal processes by taking the temporally homogeneous limit $t_* \to \infty$.

**Corollary 5.1** *Assume that $\boldsymbol{u} \in \mathbb{W}^{(0,\pi r)}$. Then the trigonometric Dyson models of types C and D given by (1.44) and (1.45), respectively, are determinantal with the spatio-temporal correlation kernels*

$$\begin{aligned}
\check{\mathbf{K}}^{C_N}(s,x;t,y) &= \sum_{j=1}^{N} p_{\rm aa}^{[0,\pi r]}(s,x|u_j)\check{M}_{\boldsymbol{u},u_j}^{C_N}(t,y) - \mathbf{1}(s>t)p_{\rm aa}^{[0,\pi r]}(s-t,x|y),\\
\check{\mathbf{K}}^{D_N}(s,x;t,y) &= \sum_{j=1}^{N} p_{\rm rr}^{[0,\pi r]}(s,x|u_j)\check{M}_{\boldsymbol{u},u_j}^{D_N}(t,y) - \mathbf{1}(s>t)p_{\rm rr}^{[0,\pi r]}(s-t,x|y),
\end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

$(s,x),(t,y) \in [0,\infty)\times[0,\pi r]$, *where*

$$\check{M}_{\boldsymbol{u},u_j}^{R}(t,x) = \widetilde{\mathrm{E}}[\check{\Phi}_{\boldsymbol{u},u_j}^{R}(x+i\widetilde{B}(t)], \quad R = C_N, D_N$$

*with*

$$\begin{aligned}
\check{\Phi}_{\boldsymbol{u},u_j}^{C_N}(z) &= \frac{\sin(z/r)}{\sin(u_j/r)} \prod_{\substack{1\le\ell\le N,\\ \ell\ne j}} \frac{\sin((z-u_\ell)/2r)}{\sin((u_j-u_\ell)/2r)} \frac{\sin((z+u_\ell)/2r)}{\sin((u_j+u_\ell)/2r)},\\
\check{\Phi}_{\boldsymbol{u},u_j}^{D_N}(z) &= \prod_{\substack{1\le\ell\le N,\\ \ell\ne j}} \frac{\sin((z-u_\ell)/2r)}{\sin((u_j-u_\ell)/2r)} \frac{\sin((z+u_\ell)/2r)}{\sin((u_j+u_\ell)/2r)}, \quad j=1,2,\ldots,N.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

We find that in the limit $t_* \to \infty$, the equality (2.10) with $R = C_N$ and $D_N$ gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\det_{1\le j,k\le N}[\check{f}_j^{C_N}(u_k)] &= (-1)^{N(N-1)/2}2^{N(N-1)}\prod_{\ell=1}^{N}\sin\left(\frac{u_\ell}{r}\right)\prod_{1\le j<k\le N}\sin\left(\frac{u_k-u_j}{2r}\right)\sin\left(\frac{u_k+u_j}{2r}\right),\\
\det_{1\le j,k\le N}[\check{f}_j^{D_N}(u_k)] &= (-1)^{N(N-1)/2}2^{(N-1)^2}\prod_{1\le j<k\le N}\sin\left(\frac{u_k-u_j}{2r}\right)\sin\left(\frac{u_k+u_j}{2r}\right) \qquad (5.3)
\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\check{f}_j^{C_N}(z) = \sin\left(\frac{jz}{r}\right), \quad \check{f}_j^{D_N}(z) = \cos\left(\frac{(j-1)z}{r}\right), \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}. \qquad (5.4)$$

By Lemma 2.1, we see that (5.2) can be expanded as

$$\check{\Phi}^R_{\boldsymbol{u},u_j}(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{N}\check{\phi}^R_{\boldsymbol{u},u_j}(k)\check{f}^R_k(z), \quad R = C_N, D_N,$$

and

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{N}\check{f}^R_j(u_\ell)\check{\phi}^R_{\boldsymbol{u},u_\ell}(k) = \delta_{jk}, \quad j,k \in \{1,2,\dots,N\}. \qquad (5.5)$$

We note that the transition probability densities (1.31) and (1.32) are written as

$$\begin{aligned}
p^{[0,\pi r]}_{\mathrm{aa}}(t,y|x) &= \frac{1}{\pi r}\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}e^{-n^2t/2r^2}\check{f}^{C_N}_n(y)\check{f}^{C_N}_n(x)\\
&= \frac{2}{\pi r}\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty}e^{-\ell^2t/2r^2}\check{f}^{C_N}_\ell(y)\check{f}^{C_N}_\ell(x),\\
p^{[0,\pi r]}_{\mathrm{rr}}(t,y|x) &= \frac{1}{\pi r}\left(\check{f}^{D_N}_1(y)\check{f}^{D_N}_1(x) + 2\sum_{\ell=2}^{\infty}e^{-(\ell-1)^2t/2r^2}\check{f}^{D_N}_\ell(y)\check{f}^{D_N}_\ell(x)\right). \qquad (5.6)
\end{aligned}$$

Now we show relaxation processes to equilibria, which are typical nonequilibrium phenomena [19, 15].

**Proposition 5.2** *For any initial configuration $\boldsymbol{u} \in \mathbb{W}^{(0,\pi r)}$, the trigonometric Dyson models of types C and D exhibit relaxation to the equilibrium processes. The equilibrium processes are determinantal with the correlation kernels*

$$\check{\mathbf{K}}^{C_N}_{\mathrm{eq}}(t-s,x,y) = \begin{cases}
\dfrac{1}{\pi r}\displaystyle\sum_{n:|n|\le N}e^{n^2(t-s)/2r^2}\sin\left(\frac{nx}{r}\right)\sin\left(\frac{ny}{r}\right), & \text{if } t>s,\\
\dfrac{1}{2\pi r}\left[\dfrac{\sin\{(2N+1)(y-x)/2r\}}{\sin\{(y-x)/2r\}} - \dfrac{\sin\{(2N+1)(y+x)/2r\}}{\sin\{(y+x)/2r\}}\right], & \text{if } t=s,\\
-\dfrac{1}{\pi r}\displaystyle\sum_{n:|n|\ge N+1}e^{n^2(t-s)/2r^2}\sin\left(\frac{nx}{r}\right)\sin\left(\frac{ny}{r}\right), & \text{if } t<s,
\end{cases} \qquad (5.7)$$

*and*

$$\check{\mathbf{K}}^{D_N}_{\mathrm{eq}}(t-s,x,y) = \begin{cases}
\dfrac{1}{\pi r}\displaystyle\sum_{n:|n|\le N-1}e^{n^2(t-s)/2r^2}\cos\left(\frac{nx}{r}\right)\cos\left(\frac{ny}{r}\right), & \text{if } t>s,\\
\dfrac{1}{2\pi r}\left[\dfrac{\sin\{(2N-1)(y-x)/2r\}}{\sin\{(y-x)/2r\}} + \dfrac{\sin\{(2N-1)(y+x)/2r\}}{\sin\{(y+x)/2r\}}\right], & \text{if } t=s,\\
-\dfrac{1}{\pi r}\displaystyle\sum_{n:|n|\ge N}e^{n^2(t-s)/2r^2}\cos\left(\frac{nx}{r}\right)\cos\left(\frac{ny}{r}\right), & \text{if } t<s,
\end{cases} \qquad (5.8)$$

*respectively.*

*Proof.* Here we prove the convergence of correlation kernels. It implies the convergence of the generating functions of spatio-temporal correlation functions (*i.e.*, the Laplace transformations of multi-time joint probability densities). Hence the convergence of process is concluded in the sense of finite dimensional distributions [19]. Assume $\boldsymbol{u} \in \mathbb{W}^{(0,\pi r)}$ and let

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{g}_{\boldsymbol{u}}^{C_N}(s,x;t,y) &= \sum_{j=1}^{N} p_{\mathrm{aa}}^{[0,\pi r]}(s,x|u_j)\check{M}_{\boldsymbol{u},u_j}^{C_N}(t,y), \\
\mathbf{g}_{\boldsymbol{u}}^{D_N}(s,x;t,y) &= \sum_{j=1}^{N} p_{\mathrm{rr}}^{[0,\pi r]}(s,x|u_j)\check{M}_{\boldsymbol{u},u_j}^{D_N}(t,y), \quad (s,x),(t,y) \in [0,\infty)\times[0,\pi r].
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to verify that

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\mathrm{E}}[\check{f}_k^{C_N}(x+i\widetilde{B}(t))] &= e^{k^2 t/2r^2}\check{f}_k^{C_N}(x), \\
\widetilde{\mathrm{E}}[\check{f}_k^{D_N}(x+i\widetilde{B}(t))] &= e^{(k-1)^2 t/2r^2}\check{f}_k^{D_N}(x), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z},
\end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\check{M}_{\boldsymbol{u},u_j}^{C_N}(t,y) &= \sum_{k=1}^{N}\check{\phi}_{\boldsymbol{u},u_j}^{C_N}(k)e^{k^2 t/2r^2}\check{f}_k^{C_N}(y), \\
\check{M}_{\boldsymbol{u},u_j}^{D_N}(t,y) &= \sum_{k=1}^{N}\check{\phi}_{\boldsymbol{u},u_j}^{D_N}(k)e^{(k-1)^2 t/2r^2}\check{f}_k^{D_N}(y), \quad j \in \{1,2,\ldots,N\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\mathbf{g}_{\boldsymbol{u}}^{R}(s,x;t,y) = \mathbf{g}_{\mathrm{eq}}^{R}(t-s,x,y) + \mathbf{r}_{\boldsymbol{u}}^{R}(s,x;t,y), \quad R = C_N, D_N$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{g}_{\mathrm{eq}}^{C_N}(t-s,x,y) &= \frac{2}{\pi r}\sum_{\ell=1}^{N} e^{\ell^2(t-s)/2r^2}\check{f}_\ell^{C_N}(x)\check{f}_\ell^{C_N}(y) \\
&= \frac{1}{\pi r}\sum_{n:|n|\le N} e^{n^2(t-s)/2r^2}\sin\left(\frac{nx}{r}\right)\sin\left(\frac{ny}{r}\right), \\
\mathbf{r}_{\boldsymbol{u}}^{C_N}(s,x;t,y) &= \frac{2}{\pi r}\sum_{k=1}^{N} e^{k^2(t-s)/2r^2}\check{f}_k^{C_N}(y)\sum_{\ell=N+1}^{\infty} e^{-(\ell^2-k^2)s/2r^2}\check{f}_\ell^{C_N}(x)\sum_{j=1}^{N}\check{f}_\ell^{C_N}(u_j)\check{\phi}_{\boldsymbol{u},u_j}^{C_N}(k), \quad (5.9)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{g}_{\mathrm{eq}}^{D_N}(t-s,x,y) &= \frac{1}{\pi r}\left(\check{f}_1^{D_N}(x)\check{f}_1^{D_N}(y) + 2\sum_{\ell=2}^{N} e^{(\ell-1)^2(t-s)/2r^2}\check{f}_\ell^{D_N}(x)\check{f}_\ell^{D_N}(y)\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{\pi r}\sum_{n:|n|\le N-1} e^{n^2(t-s)/2r^2}\cos\left(\frac{nx}{r}\right)\cos\left(\frac{ny}{r}\right), \\
\mathbf{r}_{\boldsymbol{u}}^{D_N}(s,x;t,y) &= \frac{2}{\pi r}\sum_{k=1}^{N} e^{(k-1)^2(t-s)/2r^2}\check{f}_k^{D_N}(y) \\
&\quad \times \sum_{\ell=N+1}^{\infty} e^{-\{(\ell-1)^2-(k-1)^2\}s/2r^2}\check{f}_\ell^{D_N}(x)\sum_{j=1}^{N}\check{f}_\ell^{D_N}(u_j)\check{\phi}_{\boldsymbol{u},u_j}^{D_N}(k), \quad (5.10)
\end{aligned}$$

where (5.5) and (5.6) were used. For any fixed $s,t \in [0,\infty)$, it is obvious that

$$\lim_{T\to\infty}\mathbf{r}_{\boldsymbol{u}}^{R}(s+T,x;t+T,y) = 0, \quad R = C_N, D_N,$$

uniformly on any subset of $(x,y) \in (0,\pi r)^2$, since the summations of $k$ are taken for $1 \le k \le N$, while those of $\ell$ are taken for $\ell \ge N+1$ in (5.9) and (5.10). Hence

$$\lim_{T\to\infty} \check{\mathbf{K}}_{\boldsymbol{u}}^{C_N}(s+T,x;t+T,y) = \mathbf{g}_{\rm eq}^{C_N}(t-s,x,y) - \mathbf{1}(s>t)p_{\rm aa}^{[0,\pi r]}(s-t,x|y),$$
$$\lim_{T\to\infty} \check{\mathbf{K}}_{\boldsymbol{u}}^{D_N}(s+T,x;t+T,y) = \mathbf{g}_{\rm eq}^{D_N}(t-s,x,y) - \mathbf{1}(s>t)p_{\rm rr}^{[0,\pi r]}(s-t,x|y),$$

in the same sense. It is easy to confirm that the limit kernels are represented by (5.7) and (5.8), if we use (5.6). The limit kernels (5.7) and (5.8) depend on time difference $t-s$, which implies that the determinantal processes defined by them are temporally homogeneous. The determinantal processes with the spatio-temporal correlation kernels (5.7) and (5.8) are equilibrium processes, which are reversible with respect to the *determinantal point processes* with the spatial correlation kernels

$$\begin{aligned}
\check{\rm K}_{\rm eq}^{C_N}(x,y) &= \frac{1}{2\pi r}\left[\frac{\sin\{(2N+1)(y-x)/2r\}}{\sin\{(y-x)/2r\}} - \frac{\sin\{(2N+1)(y+x)/2r\}}{\sin\{(y+x)/2r\}}\right], \\
\check{\rm K}_{\rm eq}^{D_N}(x,y) &= \frac{1}{2\pi r}\left[\frac{\sin\{(2N-1)(y-x)/2r\}}{\sin\{(y-x)/2r\}} + \frac{\sin\{(2N-1)(y+x)/2r\}}{\sin\{(y+x)/2r\}}\right],
\end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

$(x,y) \in [0,\pi]^2$, respectively. The convergence of processes is irreversible. Thus all statements of the present proposition have been proved. ∎

We note that

$$\begin{aligned}
\check{\rho}_{\rm eq}^{C_N}(x) &= \lim_{y\to x} \check{\rm K}_{\rm eq}^{C_N}(x,y) = \frac{2}{\pi r}\sum_{n=1}^{N} \sin^2\left(\frac{nx}{r}\right), \\
\check{\rho}_{\rm eq}^{D_N}(x) &= \lim_{y\to x} \check{\rm K}_{\rm eq}^{D_N}(x,y) = \frac{1}{\pi r}\left\{1 + 2\sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \cos^2\left(\frac{nx}{r}\right)\right\},
\end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\int_0^{\pi r} \check{\rho}_{\rm eq}^{C_N}(x)dx = \int_0^{\pi r} \check{\rho}_{\rm eq}^{D_N}(x)dx = N,$$

as required.

# 6 Concluding Remarks and Open Problems

In the previous paper [13, 14] and in this paper (Theorem 1.2), we have introduced seven families of interacting particle systems $\Xi^R(t) = \sum_{j=1}^N \delta_{X_j^R(t)}, t \in [0,t_*)$ governed by the probability laws $\mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{u}}^R$ associated with the irreducible reduced affine root systems denoted by $R = A_{N-1}$, $B_N$, $B_N^\vee$, $C_N$, $C_N^\vee$, $BC_N$, $D_N$. When we proved that they are determinantal processes, we showed that without change of expectations of symmetric functions of $\{X_j^R(\cdot)\}_{j=1}^N$, $\mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{u}}^R$ can be replaced by the signed measures $\widehat{\mathbb{P}}_{\boldsymbol{u}}^R$. Define $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_t^R = \sigma(\widehat{\Xi}^R(s) : 0 \le s \le t)$, $t \in [0,t_*)$. As the simplest corollary of this fact, we can conclude that at any time $0 < t < t_*$,

$$\widehat{\mathbb{E}}_{\boldsymbol{u}}^R[\mathbf{1}(\widehat{\Xi}^R(t) \in \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_t^R)] = 1 \quad \text{for} \quad R = A_{N-1}, B_N, B_N^\vee, C_N, C_N^\vee, BC_N, D_N.$$

This is nothing but a rather trivial statement that the processes $(\widehat{\Xi}^R(t))_{t\in[0,t_*)}$ are well-normalized, but it provides nontrivial multiple-integral equalities including parameters $t \in [0,t_*)$ and $\boldsymbol{u} = (u_1,\ldots,u_N)$. For example, for $R = D_N$, we will have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{[0,\pi r]^N} d\boldsymbol{x} \prod_{\ell=1}^N \frac{1}{2\pi r}\left\{\vartheta_3\left(\frac{x_\ell - u_\ell}{2\pi r}; \frac{it}{2\pi r^2}\right) + \vartheta_3\left(\frac{x_\ell + u_\ell}{2\pi r}; \frac{it}{2\pi r^2}\right)\right\} \\
&\times \prod_{1\le j<k\le N} \frac{\vartheta_1((x_k-x_j)/2\pi r;\tau^{D_N}(t))}{\vartheta_1((u_k-u_j)/2\pi r;\tau^{D_N}(0))} \frac{\vartheta_1((x_k+x_j)/2\pi r;\tau^{D_N}(t))}{\vartheta_1((u_k+u_j)/2\pi r;\tau^{D_N}(0))} = \left(\frac{\eta(\tau^{D_N}(t))}{\eta(\tau^{D_N}(0))}\right)^{N(N-2)},
\end{aligned} \tag{6.1}$$

where $\eta(\tau)$ is the Dedekind modular function (1.28) and $\tau^{D_N}(t) = i(N-1)(t_*-t)/\pi r^2$.

In the previous papers [13, 14] and in Theorem 1.3 we have identified the systems of SDEs which are solved by the four families of determinantal processes, $((\Xi^{A_{N-1}}(t))_{t\in[0,t_*)}, \mathbb{P}^{A_{N-1}}_{\boldsymbol{u}})$, $\boldsymbol{u} \in \mathbb{W}_N^{(0,2\pi r)}$, and $((\Xi^{R}(t))_{t\in[0,t_*)}, \mathbb{P}^{R}_{\boldsymbol{u}})$, $\boldsymbol{u} \in \mathbb{W}_N^{(0,\pi r)}$ with $R = B_N, C_N$ and $D_N$. The systems of SDEs for other cases $R = B_N^\vee$, $C_N^\vee$, $BC_N$ are not yet clarified. The exceptional cases of reduced irreducible affine root systems and the non-reduced irreducible affine root systems [25] will be studied from the view point of the present stochastic analysis.

The classical Dyson models of type A in $\mathbb{R}$ given by (1.46) and of types C and D in $[0,\infty)$ given by (1.47) and (1.48) are realized as the eigenvalue processes of Hermitian-matrix-valued Brownian motions with specified symmetry [6, 16]. It will be an interesting problem to construct the matrix-valued Brownian motions such that the eigenvalue processes of them provide the elliptic Dyson models (1.23), (1.39)-(1.41).

As shown in Section 4, the factors represented by the Dedekind modular function (1.28) in the determinantal martingales (1.25) are essential in the proof of Theorem 1.3. We have noted that $\mathcal{N}^R$ given by (1.15) is identified with the quantity g given for the reduced irreducible affine root systems in Appendix 1 of [25]. Interpretation of the formulas (1.26) from the view point of representation theory is desired.

As mentioned after Theorem 1.3 in Section 1, if we take the temporally homogeneous limit $t_* \to \infty$, the elliptic Dyson models of types A, B, C, and D become the corresponding trigonometric Dyson models, and in the further limit $r \to \infty$, they are reduced to the Dyson models in $\mathbb{R}$, in $[0,\infty)$ with an absorbing boundary condition at the origin, and in $[0,\infty)$ with a reflecting boundary condition at the origin, respectively. In the limit $r \to \infty$, $p_{\rm aa}^{[0,\pi r]}$ and $p_{\rm rr}^{[0,\pi r]}$ given by (1.31) and (1.32) become

$$\begin{aligned} p_{\rm aa}^{[0,\infty)}(t,y|x) &= p_{\rm BM}(t,y|x) - p_{\rm BM}(t,y|-x), \\ p_{\rm rr}^{[0,\infty)}(t,y|x) &= p_{\rm BM}(t,y|x) + p_{\rm BM}(t,y|-x), \end{aligned}$$

and in the double limit $t_* \to \infty$, $r \to \infty$, $\Phi^{C_N}_{\boldsymbol{u},u_j}(z)$ and $\Phi^{D_N}_{\boldsymbol{u},u_j}(z)$ given by (1.38) become

$$\Phi^{C_N}_{\boldsymbol{u},u_j}(z) \to \frac{z_j}{u_j}\Phi^{[0,\infty)}_{\boldsymbol{u},u_j}(z), \quad \Phi^{D_N}_{\boldsymbol{u},u_j}(z) \to \Phi^{[0,\infty)}_{\boldsymbol{u},u_j}(z)$$

with

$$\Phi^{[0,\infty)}_{\boldsymbol{u},u_j}(z) = \prod_{\substack{1\le\ell\le N,\\ \ell\ne j}} \frac{z^2-u_\ell^2}{u_j^2-u_\ell^2}.$$

Then the spatio-temporal correlation kernels (1.36) are reduced to the following,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{K}^{C_N}_{\boldsymbol{u}}(s,x;t,y) &= \sum_{j=1}^N p_{\rm aa}^{[0,\infty)}(s,x|u_j)\widetilde{\mathrm{E}}\left[\frac{y+i\widetilde{B}(t)}{u_j}\Phi^{[0,\infty)}_{\boldsymbol{u},u_j}(y+i\widetilde{B}(t))\right] - \mathbf{1}(s>t)p_{\rm aa}^{[0,\infty)}(s-t,x|y), \\ \mathbf{K}^{D_N}_{\boldsymbol{u}}(s,x;t,y) &= \sum_{j=1}^N p_{\rm rr}^{[0,\infty)}(s,x|u_j)\widetilde{\mathrm{E}}\left[\Phi^{[0,\infty)}_{\boldsymbol{u},u_j}(y+i\widetilde{B}(t))\right] - \mathbf{1}(s>t)p_{\rm rr}^{[0,\infty)}(s-t,x|y), \end{aligned}$$

$(s,x),(t,y) \in [0,\infty)\times[0,\infty)$. Consider the $D$-dimensional Bessel processes $\mathrm{BES}^{(D)}$ in $[0,\infty)$, whose transition probability densities $p^{\mathrm{BES}^{(D)}}$ are expressed using the modified Bessel function $I_\nu(z)$ with $\nu = (D-2)/2$ [27, 15]. In particular, we find that

$$p^{\mathrm{BES}^{(3)}}(t,y|x) = \frac{y}{x}p_{\rm aa}^{[0,\infty)}(t,y|x), \quad p^{\mathrm{BES}^{(1)}}(t,y|x) = p_{\rm rr}^{[0,\infty)}(t,y|x),$$

$x, y \in (0,\infty), t \geq 0$. If we put

$$\mathbf{K}_{\boldsymbol{u}}^{\mathrm{BES}^{(3)}}(s,x;t,y) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} p^{\mathrm{BES}^{(3)}}(s,x|u_j)\widetilde{\mathrm{E}}\left[\frac{y+i\widetilde{B}(t)}{y}\Phi_{\boldsymbol{u},u_j}^{[0,\infty)}(y+i\widetilde{B}(t))\right] - \mathbf{1}(s>t)p^{\mathrm{BES}^{(3)}}(s-t,x|y), \tag{6.2}$$

$$\mathbf{K}_{\boldsymbol{u}}^{\mathrm{BES}^{(1)}}(s,x;t,y) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} p^{\mathrm{BES}^{(1)}}(s,x|u_j)\widetilde{\mathrm{E}}\left[\Phi_{\boldsymbol{u},u_j}^{[0,\infty)}(y+i\widetilde{B}(t))\right] - \mathbf{1}(s>t)p^{\mathrm{BES}^{(1)}}(s-t,x|y), \tag{6.3}$$

the following equalities are established,

$$\mathbf{K}_{\boldsymbol{u}}^{C_N}(s,x;t,y) = \frac{y}{x}\mathbf{K}_{\boldsymbol{u}}^{\mathrm{BES}^{(3)}}(s,x;t,y), \quad \mathbf{K}_{\boldsymbol{u}}^{D_N}(s,x;t,y) = \mathbf{K}_{\boldsymbol{u}}^{\mathrm{BES}^{(1)}}(s,x;t,y), \tag{6.4}$$

$(s,x),(t,y) \in [0,\infty)\times[0,\infty)$. The functions (6.2) and (6.3) are the spatio-temporal correlation kernels of the $N$-particle systems of $\mathrm{BES}^{(3)}$ and $\mathrm{BES}^{(1)}$ with noncolliding condition (see Section 7 in [12]). It is easy to verify that the spatio-temporal Fredholm determinant (1.12) is invariant under the transformation of kernel

$$\widehat{\mathbb{K}}_{\boldsymbol{u}}(s,x;t,y) \to \frac{a(t,y)}{a(s,x)}\widehat{\mathbb{K}}_{\boldsymbol{u}}(s,x;t,y)$$

with an arbitrary continuous function $a$. Then (6.4) implies that the elliptic Dyson models of types C and D given by Theorem 1.3 are deduced to the noncolliding $\mathrm{BES}^{(3)}$ and $\mathrm{BES}^{(1)}$ in the double limit $t_* \to \infty, r \to \infty$, respectively. In other words, the present elliptic Dyson models of types C and D can be regarded as the elliptic extensions of the noncolliding $\mathrm{BES}^{(3)}$ and $\mathrm{BES}^{(1)}$, respectively. Trigonometric and elliptic extensions of noncolliding $\mathrm{BES}^{(D)}$ with general $D \geq 1$ [20, 12] will be studied. Moreover, infinite-particle limits of the elliptic determinantal processes should be studied [19, 13, 14].

Connection between the present elliptic determinantal processes and probabilistic discrete models with elliptic weights [29, 3, 1, 30, 31] will be also an interesting future problem.

**Acknowledgements** A part of the present work was done during the participation of the author in the ESI workshop on "Elliptic Hypergeometric Functions in Combinatorics, Integrable Systems and Physics" (March 20-24, 2017). The present author expresses his gratitude for the hospitality of Erwin Schrodinger International Institute for Mathematics and Physics (ESI) of the University of Vienna and for well-organization of the workshop by Christian Krattenthaler, Masatoshi Noumi, Simon Ruijsenaars, Michael J. Schlosser, Vyacheslav P. Spiridonov, and S. Ole Warnaar. He also thanks Soichi Okada, Masatoshi Noumi, Simon Ruijsenaars, and Michael J. Schlosser for useful discussion. This work was supported in part by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) (No.26400405), (B) (No.26287019), and (S) (No.16H06338) of Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

# References

[1] Betea, D.: Elliptically distributed lozenge tilings of a hexagon. arXiv:math-ph/1110.4176

[2] Borodin, A., Ferrari, P. L., Prähofer, M., Sasamoto, T., Warren, J.: Maximum of Dyson Brownian motion and non-colliding systems with a boundary. Elect. Comm. in Probab. **14**, 486-494

[3] Borodin, A., Gorin, V., Rains, E. M.: $q$-distributions on boxed plane partitions. Sel. Math. (N. S.) **16**, 731-789 (2010)

[4] Borodin, A., Rains, E. M.: Eynard-Mehta theorem, Schur process, and their Pfaffian analog. J. Stat. Phys. **121**, 291-317 (2005)

[5] Borodin, A. N., Salminen, P.: *Handbook of Brownian Motion – Facts and Formulae*. 2nd edition, Birkhäuser, Basel (2002)

[6] Dyson, F. J. : A Brownian-motion model for the eigenvalues of a random matrix. J. Math. Phys. **3**, 1191-1198 (1962)

[7] Gessel, I., Viennot, G.: Binomial determinants, paths, and hook length formulae. Adv. in Math. **58**, 300-321 (1985)

[8] Ito, M., Noumi, M.: A generalization of the Sears-Slater transformation and elliptic Lagrange interpolation of type $BC_n$. Adv. in Math. **299**, 361-380 (2016)

[9] Ito, M., Noumi, M.: Derivation of a $BC_n$ elliptic summation formula via the fundamental invariants. Constr. Approx. **45**, no.1, 33-46 (2017)

[10] Ito, M., Noumi, M.: Evaluation of the $BC_n$ elliptic Selberg integral via the fundamental invariants. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **145**, no.2, 689-703 (2017)

[11] Karlin, S., McGregor, J.: Coincidence probabilities. Pacific J. Math. **9**, 1141-1164 (1959)

[12] Katori, M.: Determinantal martingales and noncolliding diffusion processes. Stochastic Process. Appl. **124**, 3724-3768 (2014)

[13] Katori, M.: Elliptic determinantal process of type A. Probab. Theory Relat. Fields **162**, 637-677 (2015)

[14] Katori, M.: Elliptic Bessel processes and elliptic Dyson models realized as temporally inhomogeneous processes. J. Math. Phys. **57**, 103302/1-32 (2016)

[15] Katori, M.: *Bessel Processes, Schramm-Loewner Evolution, and the Dyson Model*. SpringerBriefs in Mathematical Physics 11, Springer, Tokyo, (2016)

[16] Katori, M., Tanemura, H.: Symmetry of matrix-valued stochastic processes and noncolliding diffusion particle systems. J. Math. Phys. **45**, 3058-3085 (2004)

[17] Katori, M., Tanemura, H.: Infinite systems of noncolliding generalized meanders and Riemann-Liouville differintegrals. Probab. Theory Relat. Fields **138**, 113-156 (2007)

[18] Katori, M., Tanemura, H.: Noncolliding Brownian motion and determinantal processes. J. Stat. Phys. **129**, 1233-1277 (2007)

[19] Katori, M., Tanemura, H.: Non-equilibrium dynamics of Dyson's model with an infinite number of particles. Commun. Math. Phys. **293**, 469-497 (2010)

[20] Katori, M., Tanemura, H.: Noncolliding squared Bessel processes. J. Stat. Phys. **142**, 592-615 (2011)

[21] König, W., O'Connell, N.: Eigenvalues of the Laguerre process as non-colliding squared Bessel process. Elec. Commun. Probab. **6**, 107-114 (2001)

[22] Krattenthaler, C.: Advanced determinant calculus: a complement. Linear Algebra Appl. **411**, 68-166 (2005)

[23] Liechty, K., Wang, D.: Nonintersecting Brownian bridges between reflecting or absorbing walls. arXiv: math.PR/1608.08712

[24] Lindström, B.: On the vector representations of induced matroids. Bull. London Math. Soc. **5**, 85-90 (1973)

[25] Macdonald, I. G. : Affine root systems and Dedekind's $\eta$-function. Invent. Math. **15**, 91-143 (1972)

[26] *NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions*, edited by Olver, F. W. J., Lozier, D. W., Boisvert, R. F., Clark, C. W., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (2010)

[27] Revuz, D., Yor, M.: *Continuous Martingales and Brownian Motion*. 3rd edition, Springer, New York, (1999)

[28] Rosengren, H., Schlosser, M.: Elliptic determinant evaluations and the Macdonald identities for affine root systems. Compositio Math. **142**, 937-961 (2006)

[29] Schlosser, M.: Elliptic enumeration of nonintersecting lattice paths. J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **114**, 505-521 (2007)

[30] Schlosser, M.: A noncommutative weight-dependent generalization of the binomial theorem. arXiv:math.QA/1106.2112v2

[31] Schlosser, M., Yoo, M.: Weight-dependent commutation relations and combinatorial identities. arXiv:math.CO/1610.08680v2

[32] Spohn, H.: Interacting Brownian particles: a study of Dyson's model. In: *Hydrodynamic Behavior and Interacting Particle Systems*, G. Papanicolaou (editor), IMA Volumes in Mathematics and its Applications, **9**, pp. 151-179, Springer, Berlin (1987)

[33] Tracy, C. A., Widom, H.: Nonintersecting Brownian excursions. Ann. Appl. Probab. **17**, 953-979 (2007)

[34] Warnaar, S. O.: Summation and transformation formulas for elliptic hypergeometric series. Constr. Approx. **18**, 479-502 (2002)

[35] Whittaker, E. T., Watson, G. N.: *A Course of Modern Analysis*. 4th edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1927)